SUPPLEMENT

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria for DBS in Dystonia

Helen Bronte-Stewart, MD, MSE,¹* Takaomi Taira, MD,² Francesc Valldeoriola, MD,³ Marcello Merello, MD, PhD,⁴ William J. Marks, Jr., MD,⁵ Alberto Albanese, MD,⁶ Susan Bressman, MD,⁷ and Elena Moro, MD, PhD⁸

¹Department of Neurology and Neurological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA ²Department of Neurosurgery, Tokyo Women's Medical University, Tokyo, Japan ³Servei de Neurologia, Institut Clínic de Neurociències, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain ⁴FLENI, Department of Movement Disorders, Buenos Aires, Argentina ⁵Department of Neurology, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA ⁶Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta and Università Cattolica, Milano, Italy ⁷Mirken Department of Neurology, Beth Israel Medical Center, New York, New York, USA ⁸Movement Disorders Center, Division of Neurology, TWH, University of Toronto, UHN, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

ABSTRACT:

When considering a patient with dystonia for deep brain stimulation (DBS) surgery several factors need to be considered. Level B evidence has shown that all motor features and associated pain in primary generalized and segmental dystonia are potentially responsive to globus pallidus internus (GPi) DBS. However, improvements in clinical series of ≥90% may reflect methods that need improvement, and larger prospective studies are needed to address these factors. Nevertheless, to date the selection criteria for DBS-specifically in terms of patient features (severity and nature of symptoms, age, time of evolution, or any other demographic or disease aspects)-have not been assessed in a systematic fashion. In general, dystonia patients are not considered for DBS unless medical therapies have been previously and extensively tested. The vast majority of reported patients have had DBS surgery when the disease was provoking

important disability, with loss of independence and impaired quality of life. There does not appear to be an upper age limit or a minimum age limit, although there are no published data regarding the outcome of GPi DBS for dystonia in children younger than 7 years of age. There is currently no enough evidence to prove that subjects with primary-generalized dystonia who undergo DBS at an early age and sooner rather than later after disease onset may gain more benefit from DBS than those undergoing DBS after the development of fixed skeletal deformities. There is no enough evidence to refuse or support consideration of DBS in patients with previous ablative procedures. ©2011 *Movement* Disorder Society

Key Words: DBS; dystonia; pallidal stimulation; surgery; thalamic stimulation

* **Correspondence to:** Dr. Helen Bronte-Stewart, Associate Professor, Department of Neurology and Neurological Sciences, Rm A343, Stanford University School of Medicine, 300 Pasteur Drive, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305; hbs@stanford.edu

Potential conflict of interest: H. Bronte-Stewart has received grant support from the John A. Blume Foundation, the Cahill Family Foundation for Parkinson's Research, and the Robert and Ruth Halperin Foundation, and is a consultant to Boston Scientific Corporation. F. Valldeoriola has received honoraria from Solvay Pharmaceuticals, Medtronic Iberica, Boehringer-Ingelheim. W.J. Marks has received honoraria for consulting services and lecturing from Medtronic. He has also received research grant support from Ceregene, Inc., the Department of Veterans Affairs, the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, and the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson s disease. A. Albanese has received honoraria for consulting services and lecturing from Lundbeck, Merz, Ispen and Eisai. E. Moro has received honoraria for consulting services and lecturing from Medtronic. She has also received research grant support from CurePSP, St. Jude Medical and Canadian Institute of Health Research.

Received: 24 April 2010; Revised: 22 July 2010; Accepted: 21 September 2010

Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI: 10.1002/mds.23482 Dystonia is a movement disorder characterized by involuntary, sustained muscle contractions causing twisting and repetitive movements.¹ Dystonia may affect only certain regions of the body or may be generalized and can be primary, heredodegenerative, or secondary.^{1,2} Drug treatment for generalized dystonia is often unsatisfactory or is limited by adverse effects.³ Surgical treatments for dystonia, such as thalamotomy, pallidotomy, and deep brain stimulation (DBS), have improved in their efficacy to safety ratio through a combination of technological advances and better understanding of the role of the basal ganglia in dystonia.^{3,4}

In this chapter, the evidence is reviewed regarding the factors that influence the selection of patients with various types of dystonia for treatment with DBS. Included in five sections are the following factors: patients characteristics (appropriate time for DBS with respect to age and duration of disease, comorbidities that may present risks for adverse events during or after DBS or may predict a poor outcome); clinical features of dystonia (degree of severity and disability, type and nature of the dystonia, predictive factors of outcome, relationship with the surgical target, features that might not respond to DBS); previous medical treatment; predicted outcome if previous surgical procedures for the dystonia were attempted; and genetic factors.

Methods

Search Strategy

The literature search was performed using PubMed, CINAHL, and the Cochrane Collaborative databases initially from 1980 to January 2008 using the terms: dystonia and DBS; pallidal stimulation and dystonia; subthalamic stimulation and dystonia; thalamic stimulation and dystonia; secondary dystonia and DBS; neurodegenerative diseases and DBS. The search was combined with the one used for neuropsychology, neuropsychiatry, microelectrode recording, neuroimaging, electrophysiology, surgical techniques, complications, and targeting. Only English-language publications involving human subjects were considered. A total of 235 articles were retrieved. To facilitate the committees' work, the articles were divided in three groups, which often overlapped: preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative. A PDF file was created for each article obtained from the search and put in a CD that was mailed to the members. During the writing phase, additional 71 articles were added to update the search, covering the period from January 2008 to September 2009.

Process of Generating Clinical Recommendations

The Consensus Committee members of the Task Force included neurologists, neurosurgeons, neurophysiologists, psychiatrists, neuropsychologists, nurses, and mid-level practitioners with expertise and experience in DBS. The experts were also chosen from different countries in Asia, Europe, North and South America, to provide a more comprehensive contribution to the Task Force. The authors of each chapter were selected taking into account their specific expertise in the field. The steering committee prepared a list of questions related to preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative issues and established two chairs responsible for each of these three areas (subcommittees). These chairs then assigned a few questions to be addressed by each member of the subcommittees. The answers to the questions had to be formulated after reviewing the available literature (provided on CD) and combining their expertise. As the level of evidence for most of the DBS studies was low, the responses

were organized following the template previously used for the Special Supplement on DBS for Parkinson's disease (PD): (1) available data, (2) conclusions, (3) pragmatic recommendations, and (4) points to be addressed.⁵ A first document was prepared from this initial work and was reviewed and discussed by the entire Task Force group during a 1-day meeting. During this meeting the Task Force members provided further feedback and agreed on additional refinements of the whole document adding the comments and remarks collected during the meeting. Special attention was paid to formulate pragmatic recommendations in absence of available studies. A second version of the project was sent to the entire working committee for final approval. The Executive Committee then met again to refine the Special Issue document before submission.

Patients' Characteristics

Age

What Is the Best Age for Surgery? Is There Any Age Limit for Surgery (A "Critical" Age)?

Available Data

The influence of age on the selection of patients for DBS varies for different forms of dystonia. Therefore, these will be reviewed separately.

Primary-Generalized Dystonia. Several class IV studies have assessed the factor of age as a variable regarding the outcome of DBS in primary-generalized dystonia (PGD), and enough data is provided in other articles to make a statement on outcome versus age.^{6–8} Age considerations include age of dystonia onset or the age at the time of surgery. Most studies have looked at the age at the time of surgery; however this variable is linked to the duration of symptoms.

Some studies have found an association between outcome and age at the time of surgery. Alterman et al.⁸ reported a retrospective study of 15 patients with PGD who underwent bilateral globus pallidus internus (GPi) DBS. They found a significant correlation with outcome based on the age at time of surgery $(r^2 = 0.63, P < 0.001)$. Subjects who were <21-yearold (n = 8) experienced a median improvement in the Burke-Fahn-Marsden dystonia rating scale-motor score (BFMDRS-M) of 97% (range 84-100%) at 1 vear. Subjects >21-year-old (n = 7) experienced a 69% (range 40-89%) improvement in BFMDRS-M at 1 year after DBS. The significant difference between the groups was maintained even when the three DYT-1 mutation negative subjects (all older than 21) were excluded from the analysis. The youngest age at operation was 10 years. There was also a negative correlation with outcome based on the durations of symptoms $(r^2 = 0.63, P = 0.011)$, with the older group having had a longer duration of symptoms (mean of 20.7 years versus 5.1 years in the younger group). The same authors had previously found predictive value of post surgical benefit of age of onset in a larger group of 39 patients (children and adults) with primary dystonia.⁹ Of note the same patients may have contributed to both studies. Patients >21 years at surgery (n = 17) improved 15% less (P < 0.001) than those <21 years (n = 15) at 12 months after surgery.

Coubes et al.¹⁰ reported the outcome of seven patients with DYT-1 mutation. Six were children (age 14 or less) and one was an adult (age 27). The youngest at operation was 8 years of age. No duration of disease was given. The six children walked again after surgery, but the adult did not, due to "secondary skeletal deformities of the spine and lower limb." Coubes et al.¹¹ also reported the 2-year outcome from 31 patients with PGD who underwent bilateral GPi DBS. The group comprised 12 adults (17 years of age or older) and 19 children. Children showed significantly better improvement in motor scores (BFMDRS-M) than adults (P = 0.04), but there was no significant difference between children and adults in level of improvement in disability scores (BFMDRS-D) (P = 0.95). This age-related finding was not a function of being positive or negative for the DYT-1 mutation. There was no specification of the ages of the children, but a comment in the article mentioned a subject who was 6 years of age. This appears to be the youngest patient operated on in the literature of PGD.

Halbig et al.¹² reported the 3–12 months outcome of 13 patients with PGD. The youngest subject was 13 year-old at the time of operation (disease duration of 5 years, improvement in BFMDRS-M of 65%). The oldest subject, 68 at surgery, had the least improvement (disease duration of 18 years, improvement in BFMDRS-M of 25%). Five other PGD patients were above 50 and had a 43–67% improvement.

Other class IV studies have found that a longer duration of symptoms was associated with a worse outcome. Cersosimo et al.¹³ reported the outcomes of 10 patients with PGD (9 of 10 tested positive for the DYT1 gene mutation). They did not report outcome by age, but 9 of 10 patients were under 20 and the other was 28. Unlike the study from Alterman et al.,⁸ the 28-year-old patient had a short duration of symptoms (4 years) and showed the fastest time of the whole group to achieve maximal benefit (24 hours). The outcome of the 28-year-old was better than that of the youngest subject (9 years old; 69.7% improvement in BFMDRS-M after 3 years vs. 53.3% after 2 years). Both were DYT-1 mutation positive.

A correlation with disease duration was found by Isaias et al.⁹ Disease duration negatively correlated with clinical outcome and with disability scores at 1 year after surgery (P < 0.05). Seven patients with fixed skeletal deformities had a significant poorer outcome at 12 months after surgery.

Eltahawy et al.¹⁴ compared the outcomes of pallidal lesions (four subjects) versus pallidal DBS (two subjects) in PGD patients. The authors found a tendency for better outcome scores in patients who were younger and had an early onset of dystonia and shorter duration of disease before surgery.

In contrast, the prospective class III study reported by Valldeoriola et al.¹⁵ found a positive association (P = 0.001) between motor improvement with DBS and patients' age at the moment of surgery in a group 24 PGD patients with bilateral GPi DBS but not with disease duration or age at onset of dystonic symptoms.

In a meta-analysis of DBS for all types of dystonia, Holloway et al.¹⁶ found a significant correlation between duration of symptoms and outcome (P = 0.003). Age at onset and age at the time of surgery did not influence the outcome. However, a multiple regression analysis performed using nucleus stimulated, aetiology of dystonia, and duration of symptoms was highly significant for nucleus stimulated and aetiology but not duration of symptoms (P = 0.117).

Cervical Dystonia. The age at the time of operation for cervical dystonia (CD) tends to be older than for PGD due to the nature of the disease, which usually presents in adulthood. Two independent class IV studies with 10 patients at 1 year¹⁷ and at 3 years¹⁸ after bilateral GPi DBS did not find correlation between age and duration at time of surgery with outcome or adverse effects of GPi DBS, but these findings might be related to the small sample of patients. From small case series regarding the outcome of GPi DBS for CD there is not enough data to make any statement about age at the time of surgery as a predictive factor of outcome, except that the surgery appeared to be safe in elderly subjects (the oldest subject was 78 year-old at the time of surgery).¹⁷⁻²⁰ Of note, however, is the association of the duration of CD and the risk of the development of cervical myelopathy, which may suggest that DBS for CD should be considered before this occurs. Tonomura et al.²¹ reported a case of a 53year-old patient with CD since childhood who developed atlantoaxial rotatory subluxation. GPi DBS was performed first, followed by atlantoaxial transarticular screw fixation and fusion. GPi DBS improved the CD so that the spinal fusion could be done. The authors warn that subjects with severe CD can develop unstable necks with severe morbidity.

Other Focal Dystonias. DBS surgery has been performed for other focal dystonias, many of which occur in adulthood and no formal recommendation concerning age as a predictive factor has been reported.^{20,22–26} However, the same recommendations concerning increasing age and duration of symptoms as well as

risks for medical comorbidity and fixed skeletal deformities can apply. So DBS, if indicated, should be performed before these occur.

Pantothenate Kinase-Associated Neurodegeneration. This group is included because it can benefit from bilateral GPi DBS. The age of onset of pantothenate kinase-associated neurodegeneration (PKAN) is variable but usually starts in childhood. Castelnau et al.²⁷ reported the outcomes of GPi DBS in six subjects with genetically confirmed PKAN whose ages at the time of surgery were 10-39 years. The 10-year-old had symptom onset at age 1 year (the youngest age of onset) and had the least improvement (46% in BFMDRS-M, compared with mean of group of 74.6%) despite not having the longest duration of symptoms. This was the only subject who could not return to walk, unlike three other wheelchair bound subjects who resumed independent walking with DBS. The oldest subject at the time of surgery (39-year-old) had an 82% improvement, disease duration 22 years, and had spasticity.

Secondary Dystonia. No study has systematically determined age to be a factor in patient selection in secondary dystonias, including tardive dystonia, hemidystonia, and postanoxic dystonia.

Conclusions

Primary-Generalized Dystonia. From the studies available, mostly class IV, there is no enough evidence to prove that subjects with PGD who undergo GPi DBS at an earlier age may gain more benefit from DBS for PGD than those operated at a later age. There is also controversy in the literature regarding whether symptom duration is an independent factor associated with outcome One class IV study found that duration of symptoms rather than age at the time of surgery was inversely correlated with outcome even after the patients with fixed skeletal deformities had been removed from the analysis,⁹ although a class III study found that age at the time of surgery and not symptom duration was predictive of outcome.¹⁵ From these mostly retrospective studies it is suggested that DBS should be considered before the development of fixed skeletal deformities, the presence of which was associated with a poorer outcome. As there is not enough evidence to support this suggestion, larger prospective studies are needed to further address this issue.

Cervical and Other Segmental and Focal Dystonias. Subjects with CD tend to be older than those with PGD. No statement can be made regarding age as a predictive factor for DBS, from the few class IV case series published. However, DBS appeared to be safe in the older subjects (65–78 years). For focal dystonias, a longer duration of symptoms appears to pose a risk of subsequent fixed skeletal deformities, such as cervical myelopathy or spine instability in CD and limb contractures for other focal dystonias. DBS should be considered before these complications are irreversible.

Pantothenate Kinase-Associated Neurodegeneration and Secondary Dystonias. There is no available data to predict whether age or symptom duration is predictive of outcome of DBS.

Minimum and Maximum ages for DBS for Dystonia. Currently there are no data regarding the outcome of DBS for dystonia in children younger than 7 years of age. From experience and comments in the surgical literature, implanting neurostimulators (even in the abdominal region) in very small children, especially those emaciated from disease such as PKAN, may lead to skin erosion. However, the procedure is well tolerated in young children and the extension connecting the DBS lead to the neurostimulator appears to allow for growth. As far as an upper age limit is concerned, there have been no reports of increased risk of intracranial haemorrhage in older patients with dystonia. However, patients with dystonia are usually younger than patients with PD at time of surgery.

Pragmatic Recommendations

Age itself should not be used as an inclusion or exclusion criterion for GPi DBS: children as well adults can benefit from the procedure. No data are available on children under 7 years of age. A practical approach is that any subject with a progressive generalized or CD should consider surgery before developing fixed skeletal deformities or cervical myelopathy.

Points to Be Addressed

Future large randomised and prospective studies should tease out the relative contribution of age and symptom duration on surgical outcomes.

Comorbidities

Are There Patients Who Are Not Eligible for Surgery due to Comorbidities? Are There Absolute and Relative Comorbidity Contraindications?

Available Data

Brain Imagin. From a systematic review of the diagnosis and treatment of dystonia by a European Task Force,²⁸ it is suggested that brain imaging should be mandatory in order to determine the aetiology of dystonia and should be done before considering a patient for DBS. No major structural abnormalities are detected with conventional brain CT or MRI studies

in subjects with primary dystonia, although certain basal ganglia and cerebellar abnormalities, such as changes in volume and grey matter density, have been found.²⁸⁻³⁰ Secondary and neurodegenerative dystonias may show structural abnormalities such as stroke, demyelination, tumor, brain atrophy, and so forth. There are no studies specifically addressing the impact of these abnormalities on the surgical outcomes, although abnormal brain MRI was associated with less postsurgical improvement (after pallidotomy and pallidal DBS) in a small class IV series of 15 patients with primary and secondary dystonia.¹⁴ As DBS is largely considered to be more effective for primary dystonias than secondary dystonias,¹⁴ the main purpose of conventional brain MRI in surgical candidates is to support or refute the diagnosis of a primary dystonia and to rule out other incidental findings.

Psychiatric Issues. Most published studies have used exclusion criteria for patients with severe depression or "major psychiatric disorders." No study has examined the rate of suicide in subjects with dystonia post-DBS. There are few anecdotal reports of suicide after DBS for dystonia. Burkhardt et al.³¹ reported the suicide of one patient with postanoxic dystonia and a prior history of depression, suicide ideation and attempt, aggressive behavior, and drug dependency. Foncke et al.³² reported suicide in two dystonia patients with GPi DBS with a previous history of depression.

These three cases of suicides after DBS for dystonia may represent an exception to the general experience reported in published series. Furthemore, GPi DBS has been used safely in tardive dystonia patients with history of depression and psychosis.^{33,34} There is also one case report of remarkable mood improvement in a patient with severe depression who underwent bilateral GPi stimulation for tardive dyskinesia.³⁵

A specific article in Section II of this Supplement will further address psychiatric issues in patients with dystonia and DBS.³⁶

Dementia. Certain class IV studies of PGD used exclusion criteria similar to those used for PD and included a cut-off on the Mattis Dementia Rating Scale (<120/144).³⁷ Other studies of PGD did not screen subjects for dementia due to their young age. There are no available studies focusing on patients with dystonia and dementia who have had DBS surgery. However, some studies have reported on patients with secondary dystonias and preoperatively impaired neuropsychological evaluation. No major differences in cognitive performances were observed after surgery.^{38,39} A specific article in Section II will further address this issue.³⁶

Fixed Skeletal Deformities. Several studies have reported that patients with PGD who have fixed skele-

tal deformities do not improve as much from GPi or subthalamic nucleus (STN) DBS as those who do not as addressed above. 9,40

Conclusions

Minor structural abnormalities in the basal ganglia in primary dystonia do not seem to be a contraindication for GPi DBS surgery. Brain MRI is considered mandatory in the preoperative selection process for subjects with dystonia, who are considering DBS in order to support the diagnosis of primary or secondary dystonia. From the class IV studies available, the incidence of suicide after DBS is very low and occurred in patients with preoperative psychiatric disease. Preoperative evaluation of any fixed skeletal deformities is required, as the latter may limit the benefit from DBS. In secondary dystonia patients, the degree of spasticity and possible other neurological deficits need to be carefully assessed to provide a realistic prediction of outcome.

Pragmatic Recommendations

Screening for psychiatric comorbidities, including depression and suicide attempts, is recommended. If the premorbid psychiatric symptoms are deemed severe this may be a contraindication to surgery. For older patients, comorbidities such as hypertension and cognitive impairment should be taken into account in the risk/benefit analysis. Careful assessment of other neurological deficits should be included in the preoperative evaluation, especially in cases of secondary dystonia. Prediction of functional outcome should be carefully assessed and discussed with the patient and care givers.

Points to Be Addressed

Issues regarding psychiatric comorbidities and vulnerabilities suggest that this area needs more study.

When to Operate on Patients, Taking into Account Possible Remission of Dystonia over the Years?

Available Data

The relationship between dystonia duration, severity or disability, and outcomes of DBS is not well known. As previously discussed, and based on small class IV series, the symptoms' duration and age at time of surgery¹⁵ may be inversely correlated with the surgical outcome.^{8,9,16}

In general, spontaneous remission of dystonia can occur, possibly in up to 15% of patients. For instance, 10–20% of patients with CD may have spontaneous remissions.⁴¹ However, most of these patients have recurrent dystonia within 5 years with no further remissions. There is a report of an individual with

spontaneous resolution of hemidystonia 4 years after onset and another whose hemidystonia resolved after 3 months of medical treatment.⁴² Chuang et al.⁴³ examined 33 cases of hemidystonia after stroke, trauma, perinatal injury, infection, congenital lesion, and tumor. Using follow-up telephone interviews they found that 11 patients were unchanged or improved, whereas none had resolution of dystonia. Of note, this was at very different times after the onset of hemidystonia, as the range of dystonia duration was 1–58 years. In their review of the literature, the authors found that most cases of acquired hemidystonia progress and then stabilize but do not resolve spontaneously.⁴³

Conclusions

Currently, there is not enough evidence of spontaneous persistent resolution of dystonia to delay DBS surgery if it is otherwise indicated. Even in patients who experience symptomatic remission within the first 5 years from the onset, dystonia usually relapses and become permanent. However, it is prudent to wait until the symptoms have stabilized, especially in relatively acute new onset of dystonia.

Pragmatic Recommendations

DBS for dystonia should be considered as a treatment option once it has become clear that medical therapy provides insufficient symptom control.

Points to Be Addressed

None.

Clinical Features of Dystonia

What Are the Specific Indications for Surgery (Mobility and Activities of Daily Living Scores, Pain Score, and Degree of disability)?

Available Data

There are no studies that directly assess, in a prospective fashion, which characteristics of dystonia are ideal for surgery. In most of the original class IV case series concerning DBS for dystonia, inclusion criteria for DBS were: disabling motor symptoms, impairment in activities of daily living (ADL), severe pain, and progression of symptoms, in the context of unsatisfactory response to medical treatment.^{37,44} From these studies it remains unknown which specific characteristics would respond better to DBS.^{12,44–49}

Conclusions

The question of which patient features define a good candidate remains unanswered, as this issue has not been systematically examined. Severity of motor impairment, pain, limitations in quality of life, and ADLs are currently the most frequent indications for DBS.

Pragmatic Recommendations

At the present time, DBS can be recommended for dystonia patients with limitations of functions (caused by motor impairment, pain and disability). There is no recommendation about the severity of dystonia or any cut off scores for the same. Both the patient and the treating physician should agree on the impairment of ADL (especially motor function), reduced quality of life, and severity of pain.

Points to Be Addressed

Future studies need to assess which clinical features are predictive of response to DBS in a more rigorous fashion. Outcomes should include disability, quality of life (QoL), and nonmotor symptoms.

Are There Specific Types of Dystonia (Primary, Secondary, Neurodegenerative, etc.), Which Better Support the Indication of Surgery and Why?

Available Data

Primary segmental and generalized dystonia generally have good surgical outcome. One class I study³⁷ and several class III studies using blinded assessment and larger numbers of patients were done in patients with primary dystonia (generalized or cervical, positive, and negative for the DYT-1 gene).^{17,44,48–50} The postoperative improvement of patients with primary dystonia who receive GPi DBS or ablative treatment is within a range of 40–90% using standard dystonia rating scales.^{6,8,10,13,15,16–18,37,44–45} Adults with primary dystonia (DYT-1 positive and negative) and children with DYT-1 positive dystonia can achieve similarly good outcomes from GPi DBS.^{8,10,44} Meige's syndrome^{23–26,51} has also shown a good response to bilateral GPi DBS from class IV series.

There is a single class IV study comparing retrospectively the surgical results in patients with primary versus secondary dystonia and concluding that the outcome is better in patients with primary dystonia.¹⁴

Other types of dystonia, such as PKAN,^{27,52–54} tardive dystonia,^{33–35,55–59} Lubag,^{60,61} and myoclonusdystonia^{62–64} may respond to DBS favorably in a consistent fashion, especially the mobile dystonic features.

In contrast, there are a number of case reports and small series of patients with secondary dystonia who obtained little or no benefit from DBS.^{14,65,66} However, a class III, prospective study of 13 adults with dystonia-choreoathetosis from cerebral palsy without cognitive impairment, reported a mean improvement of 24.4% at 1 year with significant improvement in disability, pain, and mental health-related QoL.⁶⁷

There was no worsening of cognition or mood. Accurate placement of the DBS lead in the posteroventral segment of the GPi was important for outcome. A small class IV study reported improvement of 41.4% in the motor and 29.5% in the disability scores of the BFMDRS in 8 subjects with different types of second-ary dystonia.⁶⁸ Secondary dystonias associated with a previous encephalitis or structural brain lesion may respond less favorably.^{14,69}

Conclusions

Level B evidence suggests that patients with primary dystonia experience benefit from DBS, whether it is generalized or segmental. Level C evidence of benefit is provided for CD and GPi DBS. Other types of dystonia (secondary, neurodegenerative, and dystoniaplus) may have more variable outcome. This latter evidence is from open label retrospective case series. However, one prospective series has shown that patients with hyperkinetic cerebral palsy without cognitive impairment may have modest but significant functional improvement in their QoL from GPi DBS.⁶⁷

Pragmatic Recommendations

GPi DBS should be considered for patients with PGD who do not respond adequately to medical therapy and who are limited in their ADL. GPi DBS can be considered for primary CD associated with pain or severe retrocollis or laterocollis and without adequate response to botulinum toxin. In other dystonic syndromes, especially those secondary to other causes, DBS might be considered in cases of tardive dystonia, hyperkinetic cerebral palsy, and/or cases with severe disability, although more large prospective trials are needed to support evidence of benefit. Secondary dystonia from encephalitis and/or structural lesions may not respond well to DBS.

Points to Be Addressed

Well designed trials (prospective, randomized, controlled, blinded evaluation, large series) are needed in secondary dystonia syndromes to address the question of the efficacy of DBS.

Is There Any Predictor of Response to Surgery (Mobile Dystonia vs. Fixed Dystonic Postures, etc.)?

Available Data

In most of the studies of DBS in primary or secondary dystonia, phasic hyperkinetic movements respond more rapidly and better than tonic or fixed postures; patients who had little improvement tended to have severe tonic posturing.^{9,20,37,44,48,70,71} In some of these subjects fixed skeletal deformities may have contributed to the worse outcome with tonic dystonic posturing.⁸ Primary dystonia patients respond well to DBS regardless of the presence of the DYT-1 mutation.⁴⁴

One center has suggested that a pattern of electromyographic activity with repeated bursts could indicate better or earlier response to GPi DBS.^{72,73} As stated above age at time of surgery and duration of dystonia may predict postsurgical outcomes, at least at 1 year follow-up.⁹ Secondary dystonia may respond less favourably to DBS surgery but this issue needs further study before a recommendation can be made.¹⁴

Conclusions and Pragmatic Recommendations

Primary dystonia predicts a good outcome. Level B evidence suggests that phasic hyperkinetic movements generally respond faster and better than tonic postures.

Points to Be Addressed

Different clinical features of dystonia may not be adequately captured by current clinical rating scales (such as tremor, type of dystonic movement). In addition, especially in secondary dystonia, primary outcomes may need different scales or other evaluation instruments.

Are There Specific Types of Dystonia or Indications that Encourage Preferential Choice of One Target Over Another (Thalamus, GPi, and STN)?

Available Data

No prospective randomized study has compared one target to another for primary dystonia. The choice of GPi as the target of choice in primary dystonia emerged from the successful treatment of dystonia in PD with pallidotomy, followed by the early case series showing dramatic improvement in DYT-1 positive PGD patients with GPi DBS.^{10,14} The GPi and ventrolateral thalamus have been considered suitable targets for secondary dystonia, ^{3,4,74,75} although in one class IV study stimulation of GPi was associated with better outcomes compared with thalamic stimulation.⁶⁵ The STN has also been considered for primary and secondary dystonia in small case series with controversial outcomes.^{40,76,77} Thalamic DBS has also been used to treat writer's cramp and musician's dystonia with success.⁷⁸

Conclusions

There is Level B evidence that confirms the efficacy of GPi DBS in the treatment of primary (generalized and segmental) dystonia and level C evidence for GPi DBS in treating medically refractory CD. Because of the paucity of data with thalamic or STN DBS, no conclusions can be made at this time on the preferred target for the treatment of dystonia. There are no comparative studies for the other targets and for secondary dystonia.

Pragmatic Recommendations

GPi DBS can be recommended for dystonia patients who are candidates for DBS surgery. Further studies of DBS performed at other targets, including STN and thalamus, are warranted.

Points to Be Addressed

Randomized, controlled studies are now mandatory to better assess the target for DBS in the treatment of severe dystonia. Future studies of DBS in secondary dystonia need to specifically define the aetiology and features of the dystonia for each patient, rather than collectively grouping these disparate conditions together.

Are There Motor and Nonmotor Features that Reliably Do Not Respond to Surgery? When Should These Be Sufficiently Important to Contraindicate Surgery?

Available Data

A class I study of 40 patients with primary generalized and segmental dystonia showed statistically significant motor improvement of all body regions (face, speech/swallowing, neck/trunk, arms/legs), as well as improvement in pain.⁴⁵ Depression/anxiety/psychiatric scores were generally low at baseline and did not significantly change after 3 months. The physical component of the QoL scale improved but the mental one did not. An open-label evaluation after 6 months revealed improvement in depression and both the physical and mental components of the quality of life scale. A class IV study of generalized dystonia reported significant motor improvement in neck, trunk, arm, and leg regions but not in face or speech.⁷⁰ In another study with 22 patients at 3-year follow-up of PGD treated with bilateral GPi DBS, axial, limb, and face scores significantly improved from baseline, although speech did not (though baseline scores were quite low to start).^{44,49} The study of 10 patients with CD by Kiss et al.¹⁷ showed improvement in CD and related pain. Numerous other studies referenced in earlier sections of this article support the efficacy of GPi DBS for the reduction of motor signs and pain in various types of dystonia.

Conclusions

Level B evidence has shown that all motor features of primary dystonia are potentially responsive to GPi DBS, although response of speech is less consistent or robust. Pain also showed improvement. Other nonmotor features of dystonia are not well studied or reported in the literature. Thus, there is no evidence that specific motor or nonmotor features such that when present would contraindicate treatment with DBS.

Pragmatic Recommendations

At the present time, each patient's clinical situation needs to be assessed on a case-by-case basis to determine the extent and severity of motor features and associated dysfunction or disability when making a risk/benefit calculation and recommendation to the patient regarding DBS.

Points to Be Addressed

Further study of the nonmotor features of dystonia and associated response to DBS is desirable.

More objective and quantitative assessment of speech and swallowing dysfunction and its response to DBS is needed.

Previous Medical Therapy for Dystonia

What Medical Treatment Should Be Mandatory Before Considering Surgery? How Many Drug Trials and How Long Should Have Been Attempted?

Available Data

Virtually all the reports of DBS for dystonia referenced in earlier sections indicate that patients have failed "appropriate" or "optimal" pharmacological therapy, but the details are often not defined. Similarly, in series focused on focal or segmental dystonia, an entry criterion is typically failure of adequate or continued response to chemodenervation treatment with botulinum toxin.

Conclusions

Evidence-based data do not currently inform the answer to this question, largely because pharmacological treatment tends to be individualized to each patient's needs and tolerability of treatment.

Pragmatic Recommendations

Medical management using appropriate pharmacological therapy needs to be tailored to the patient before considering surgery. It is not mandatory to try all the available medications for primary dystonia. Clinical practice generally suggests that patients with dystonia should undergo trials of maximally tolerated doses of appropriate medications, including one or more of the following classes of drugs: dopaminergic, anti-cholinergic, and benzodiazepine. In children high doses of anticholinergic drugs may be very beneficial. This therapy has to be weighed with the evidence that performing GPi DBS in PGD should be considered sooner rather than later in the duration of disease and before the formation of fixed skeletal deformities. High dose anticholinergic therapy may not be tolerated in adults due to adverse cognitive side effects. Affected muscle regions that can be effectively targeted with botulinum toxin(s) should be so treated in a manner that optimizes localization and dose.

Points to Be Addressed

Rigorous study of the efficacy of pharmacotherapy on various types of dystonia is needed, with the goal of developing probabilistic models of response to inform appropriate timing of surgical intervention.

Previous Surgery for Dystonia

Does previous functional surgery (thalamothomy, pallidotomy, peripheral denervation, myectomy, etc.) influence the outcome from DBS and if so should this influence whether or not to offer DBS?

Available Data

The only data available on DBS in patients who had previous surgery (lesions or previous DBS) for dystonia is from small observational case series. In fact, previous surgery, such as thalamotomy, pallidotomy, and peripheral denervation, is rarely stated as exclusion criterion for DBS.

Katayama et al.⁷⁹ studied five cases of PGD treated with bilateral GPi DBS. Two of the patients had been treated previously with bilateral thalamotomy or unilateral pallidotomy. They found a marked effect of GPi DBS even in patients who had previously undergone ablative procedures. Vercueil et al.⁶⁵ performed thalamic (VLp) DBS in 12 patients, three of whom later underwent a second operation with GPi DBS lead implantation because of lack of efficacy. Two of these patients had secondary dystonia. After the second DBS surgery, the benefit was reported as moderate in two and marked in one out of three patients.

On the other hand, a level IV study pointed out that a history of multiple thalamotomies is a negative outcome predictor for GPi DBS in patients with dystonia.⁸ These authors studied 31 patients with medically refractory primary dystonia (20 DYT-1 positive) who underwent GPi DBS. Three patients had undergone multiple thalamotomies before DBS. An average improvement in all the patients was 69.4% at 12 months. They found that previous thalamotomy was the major factor showing a significant negative correlation with clinical outcome at 1 year (P < 0.01).

As for combination of pallidal or thalamic DBS and contralateral lesioning, Cersosimo et al.¹³ reported the long-term follow-up data of pallidal DBS in 10 patients with PGD: five of them had unilateral pallidotomy and contralateral GPi DBS. The authors conclude that combined DBS with pallidotomy may be more effective than bilateral pallidal DBS.

There are no data on previous peripheral surgeries such as denervation or myotomy regarding their influence on the outcome of DBS.

Conclusion and Pragmatic Recommendations

There is no enough evidence to prove that previous surgical treatments (i.e., thalamotomy, pallidotomy, and peripheral denervation) should prevent consideration of DBS. There are only a few retrospective case series on the effect of previous surgical treatment. Patients who have undergone peripheral denervation for CD with unsatisfactory results and/ or with symptoms that have extended to other parts of the body may be considered as candidates for GPi DBS.

Genetic Causes of Dystonia

Should Patients with DYT-1 Dystonia or Other Genetic Causes of Dystonia Be Treated Any Differently with Respect to the Issues Listed Above?-Available Data

There are no prospective studies specifically addressing the question whether patients with genetic dystonia have different postoperative outcomes after DBS surgery. Genetic testing is usually done to specify diagnosis,² for counseling and research purposes. It is not routinely performed in every patient with dystonia considered for DBS, although the DYT-1 mutation was tested in PGD patients in many studies reporting outcomes after DBS surgery.

DYT-1. Initial class IV studies suggested that DYT-1 mutation positive patients would have better outcomes compared to DYT-1 negative patients. In 2000, Coubes et al.¹⁰ reported the 1 year outcomes after bilateral GPI DBS in 7 PGD patients (6 children and 1 adult) with DYT-1 mutation. The motor benefit was on average 90.3% (range 60–100). Krauss et al.⁶⁶ subsequently reported 2 non-DYT-1 PGD patients who improved by 74% at 2-year follow-up. Similarly, several other studies reported somewhat lower results in non-DYT1 patients.^{19,73,79}

However, other subsequent series, including a follow-up report by Coubes et al.,¹¹ have not identified significant differences between DYT-1 patients and other PGD cases.^{37,44} In 2004, Coubes et al.¹¹ reported the 2-year follow-up of 31 PGD patients with GPi DBS and found no difference in the motor outcome (79% improvement) in the DYT-1 positive subjects compared with the DYT-1 negative subjects. In a level III, prospective controlled multicenter study with GPi DBS in PGD, there was no difference (50% improvement) in the benefit at 1 year and 3 years in the 7 DYT-1 positive patients compared to the 17 DYT-1 negative patients.^{44,49}

Recently, DYT-1 mutation status has again been implicated as a predictor of better DBS outcome in children and adolescents. Borggraefe et al.⁶ described 6 PGD paediatric patients having GPi DBS and also reviewed the literature finding 44 reported PGD cases with surgery occurring before age 21 years, known DYT-1 status, and postsurgical evaluations at 4 weeks or more. The authors found DYT-1 positive patients (29/50) improved significantly more than mutation negative patients.

DYT-11. There are a small number of class IV reports of DYT-11 (myoclonus-dystonia) patients undergoing thalamic or GPi DBS. Thalamic DBS was reported effective in one patient with myoclonus dystonia,⁶¹ as well as bilateral GPi DBS in another two patients.^{62,64}

Other Genetic Dystonias. Several case reports about GPi DBS in secondary dystonias due to inherited disorders have been published. Bilateral GPi DBS in Huntington's disease,^{80–82} neuroacanthocytosis,⁸³ Lubag (X-linked dystonia-parkinsonism, DYT-3),^{60,61} Lesh-Nyhan syndrome,⁸⁴ PKAN,^{52–54} and Type 3 gangliosi-dosis⁸⁵ has been performed so far. The surgical outcomes have been heterogeneous, but no worsening of preoperative conditions has been reported.

Conclusions

Most class III and IV studies have not found that DYT-1 mutation positive PGD patients differ in their clinical benefits from GPi DBS compared with mutation negative PGD patients, although one recent metaanalysis of children and adolescents undergoing GPi DBS suggests DYT-1 positive patients have better outcome. The role of DYT-1 genetic testing and determination of gene status in PGD as a predictor of surgical outcome, therefore, remains to be determined and may differ in paediatric and adult populations. There are few data available for other genetic dystonias. Secondary dystonias due to genetic disorders have differing outcomes.

Pragmatic Recommendations

Testing for DYT-1 dystonia or myoclonus dystonia (DYT-11) is helpful to confirm the diagnosis and for counselling the patient regarding outcomes of treatment.

Points to Be Addressed

Other genetic PGD (e.g., DYT-6) might have a different response to DBS surgery. Further prospective studies that systematically test PGD patients for both DYT-1 and DYT-6 should clarify whether surgical outcomes are associated with mutation status.

References

- 1. Tarsy D, Simon DK. Dystonia. N Engl J Med 2006;355:818-829.
- Geyer HL, Bressman SB. The diagnosis of dystonia. Lancet Neurol 2006;5:780–790.
- 3. Jankovic J. Treatment of dystonia. Lancet Neurol 2006;5:864-872.
- Hamani C, Moro E. Surgery for other movement disorders-dystonia, tics. Curr Opin Neurol 2007;20:470–476.
- Benabid AL, Deuschl G, Lang AE, Lyons KE, Rezai AR. Deep brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2006;Suppl 14:S168–S170.
- Borggraefe I, Mehrkens JH, Telegravciska M, Berweck S, Bötzel K, Heinen F. Bilateral pallidal stimulation in children and adolescents with primary generalized dystonia—report of six patients and literature based analysis of predictive outcomes variables. Brain Dev 2010:32:223–228.
- Vasques X. Factors predicting improvement in primary generalized dystonia treated by pallidal deep brain stimulation. Mov Disord 2009;24:846–853.
- 8. Alterman RL, Tagliati M. Deep brain stimulation for torsion dystonia in children. Childs Nerv Syst 2009;23:1033–1040.
- Isaias IU, Alterman RL, Tagliati M. Outcome predictors of pallidal stimulation in patients with primary dystonia: the role of disease duration. Brain 2008;131:1895–1902.
- 10. Coubes P, Roubertie A, Vayssiere N, Hemm S, Echenne B. Treatment of DYT1-generalised dystonia by stimulation of the internal globus pallidus. Lancet 2000;355:2220–2221.
- 11. Coubes P, Cif L, El Fertit H, et al. Electrical stimulation of the globus pallidus internus in patients with primary generalized dystonia: long-term results. J Neurosurg 2004;101:189–194.
- 12. Halbig TD, Gruber D, Kopp UA, et al. Pallidal stimulation in dystonia: effects on cognition, mood, and quality of life. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2005;76:1713–1716.
- Cersosimo MG, Raina GB, Piedimonte F, et al. Pallidal surgery for the treatment of primary generalized dystonia: long-term followup. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2008;110:145–150.
- Eltahawy HA, Saint-Cyr J, Giladi N, Lang AE, Lozano AM. Primary dystonia is more responsive than secondary dystonia to pallidal interventions: outcome after pallidotomy or pallidal deep brain stimulation. Neurosurgery 2004;54:613–619;discussion 619–621.
- Valldeoriola F, Regidor I, Mínguez-Castellanos A, et al. Efficacy and safety of pallidal stimulation in primary dystonia: results of the Spanish multicentric study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2010;81:65–69.
- 16. Holloway KL, Baron MS, Brown R, et al. Deep brain stimulation for dystonia: a meta-analysis. Neuromodulation 2006;9:253–261.
- 17. Kiss ZHT, Doig-Beyaert K, Eliasziw M, et al. The Canadian multicenter study of deep brain stimulation for cervical dystonia. Brain 2007;130:2879–2886.
- Hung SW, Hamani C, Lozano AM, et al. Long-term outcome of bilateral pallidal deep brain stimulation for primary cervical dystonia. Neurology 2007;68:457–459.
- Yianni J, Bain P, Giladi N, et al. Globus pallidus internus deep brain stimulation for dystonic conditions: a prospective audit. Mov Disord 2003;18:436–442.
- Bereznai B, Steude U, Seelos K, Bötzel K. Chronic high-frequency globus pallidus internus stimulation in different types of dystonia: a clinical, video, and MRI report of six patients presenting with segmental, cervical, and generalized dystonia. Mov Disord 2002; 17:138–144.
- 21. Tonomura Y, Kataoka H, Sugie K, et al. Atlantoaxial rotatory subluxation associated with cervical dystonia. Spine 2007;32: E561–E564.
- 22. Muta D, Goto S, Nishikawa S, et al. Bilateral pallidal stimulation for idiopathic segmental axial dystonia advanced from Meige syndrome refractory to bilateral thalamotomy. Mov Disord 2001;16: 774–777.

- 23. Opherk C, Gruber C, Steude U, Dichgans M, Bötzel K. Successful bilateral pallidal stimulation for Meige syndrome and spasmodic torticollis. Neurology 2006;66:E14.
- Capelle HH, Weigel R, Krauss JK. Bilateral pallidal stimulation for blepharospasm-oromandibular dystonia (Meige syndrome). Neurology 2003;60:2017–2018.
- 25. Houser M, Waltz T. Meige syndrome and pallidal deep brain stimulation. Mov Disord 2005;20:203-205.
- 26. Foote KD, Sanchez JC, Okun MS. Staged deep brain stimulation for refractory craniofacial dystonia with blepharospasm: case report and physiology. Neurosurgery 2005;56:E415;discussion E415.
- 27. Castelnau P, Cif L, Valente EM, et al. Pallidal stimulation improves pantothenate kinase-associated neurodegeneration. Ann Neurol 2005;57:738–741.
- Albanese A., Barnes MP, Bathia KP, et al. A systematic review on the diagnosis and treatment of primary (idiopathic) dystonia and dystonia plus syndromes: report of an EFNS/MDS-ES task force. Eur J Neurol 2006;13:433–444.
- Draganski B, Thun-Hohenstein C, Bogdahn U, Winkler J, May A. "Motor circuit" gray matter changes in idiopathic cervical dystonia. Neurology 2003;61:1228–1231.
- Delmaire C, Vidailhet M, Elbaz A, et al. Structural abnormalities in the cerebellum and sensorimotor circuit in writer's cramp. Neurology 2007;69:376–380.
- Burkhard PR, Vingerhoets FJ, Berney A, Bogousslavsky J, Villemure JG, Ghika J. Suicide after successful deep brain stimulation for movement disorders. Neurology 2006;63:2170–2172.
- Foncke EM., Schuurman PR, Speelman JD. Suicide after deep brain stimulation of the internal globus pallidus for dystonia. Neurology 2006;66:142–143.
- Damier P, Thobois S, Witjas T, et al. Bilateral deep brain stimulation of the globus pallidus to treat tardive dyskinesia. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2007;64:170–176.
- Gruber D, Trottenberg T, Kivi A, et al. Long-term effects of pallidal deep brain stimulation in tardive dystonia. Neurology 2009; 73:53–58.
- Kosel M, Sturm V, Frick C, et al. Mood improvement after deep brain stimulation of the internal globus pallidus for tardive dyskinesia in a patient suffering from major depression. J Psychiatr Res 2007;41:801–803.
- 36. Jahanshahi M, Czernecki V, Zurowski M. Neuropsychological and neuropsychiatric issues in DBS for dystonia. Mov Disord Suppl.
- Kupsch A, Benecke R, Müller J, et al. Pallidal deep-brain stimulation in primary generalized or segmental dystonia. N Engl J Med 2006;355:1978–1990.
- Halbig TD, Gruber D, Kopp UA, Schneider GH, Trottenberg T, Kupsch A. Pallidal stimulation in dystonia: effects on cognition, mood, and quality of life. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2005;76: 1713–1716.
- Pillon B, Ardouin C, Dujardin K, et al. Preservation of cognitive function in dystonia treated by pallidal stimulation. Neurology 2006;66:1556–1558.
- 40. Kleiner-Fisman G, Liang GS, Moberg PJ, et al. Subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation for severe idiopathic dystonia: impact on severity, neuropsychological status, and quality of life. J Neurosurg 2007;107:29–36.
- Dauer WT, Burke RE, Greene P, Fahn S. Current concepts on the clinical features, aetiology and management of idiopathic cervical dystonia. Brain 1998;121:547–560.
- 42. Pettigrew L, Jankovic J. Hemidystonia: a report of 22 patients and a review of the literature. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1985;48: 650-657.
- 43. Chuang C, Fahn S, Frucht SJ. The natural history and treatment of acquired hemidystonia: report of 33 cases and review of the literature. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2002;72:59–67.
- Vidailhet M, Vercueil L, Houeto JL, et al. Bilateral deep-brain stimulation of the globus pallidus in primary generalized dystonia. N Engl J Med 2005;352:459–567.
- 45. Mueller J, Skogseid IM, Benecke R, et al. Pallidal deep brain stimulation improves quality of life in segmental and generalized dystonia: results from a prospective, randomized sham-controlled trial. Mov Disord 2008;23:131–134.

- Zorzi G, Marras C, Nardocci N, et al. Stimulation of the globus pallidus internus for childhood-onset dystonia. Mov Disord 2005; 20:1194–1200.
- 47. Manji H, Howard RS, Miller DH, et al. Status dystonicus: the syndrome and its management. Brain 1998;121:243–252.
- Pretto TE, Dalvi A, Kang UJ, et al. A prospective blinded evaluation of deep brain stimulation for the treatment of secondary dystonia and primary torticollis syndromes. J Neurosurg 2008;109: 405–409.
- 49. Vidailhet M, Vercueil L, Houeto JL, et al. Bilateral, pallidal, deepbrain stimulation in primary generalized dystonia: a prospective 3 year follow-up study. Lancet Neurol 2007;6:223–229.
- Moro E, Piboolnurak P, Arenovich T, Hung SW, Poon YYW, Lozano AM. The influence of pallidal stimulation parameters on clinical benefit in cervical dystonia. Eur J Neurol 2009;16:506–512.
- Ostrem JL, Marks WJ Jr., Volz MM, Heath SL, Starr PA. Pallidal deep brain stimulation in patients with cranial-cervical dystonia (Meige syndrome). Mov Disord 2007;22:1885–1891.
- Krause M, Fogel W, Tronnier V, et al. Long-term benefit to pallidal deep brain stimulation in a case of dystonia secondary to pantothenate kinase-associated neurodegeneration. Mov Disord 2006;21:2255–2257.
- Umemura A, Jaggi JL, Dolinskas CA, Stern MB, Baltuch GH. Pallidal deep brain stimulation for longstanding severe generalized dystonia in Hallervorden-Spatz syndrome. Case report. J Neurosurg 2004;100:706–709.
- 54. Shields DC, Sharma N, Gale JT, Eskandar EN. Pallidal stimulation for dystonia in pantothenate kinase-associated neurodegeneration. Pediatr Neurol 2007;37:442–445.
- 55. Sako W, Goto S, Shimazu H, et al. Bilateral deep brain stimulation of the globus pallidus internus in tardive dystonia. Mov Disord 2008;23:1929–1931.
- 56. Eltahawy HA, Feinstein A, Khan F, Saint-Cyr J, Lang AE, Lozano AM. Bilateral globus pallidus internus deep brain stimulation in tardive dyskinesia: a case report. Mov Disord 2004;19: 969–972.
- Trottenberg T, Paul G, Meissner W, Maier-Hauff K, Taschner C, Kupsch A. Pallidal and thalamic neurostimulation in severe tardive dystonia. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2001;70:557–559.
- Trottenberg T, Volkmann J, Deuschl G, et al. Treatment of severe tardive dystonia with pallidal deep brain stimulation. Neurology 2005;64:344–346.
- Zhang JG, Zhang K, Wang ZC, Ge M, Ma Y. Deep brain stimulation in the treatment of tardive dystonia. Chin Med J (Engl) 2006; 119:789–792.
- Evidente VG, Lyons MK, Wheeler M, et al. First case of X-linked dystonia-parkinsonism (Lubag) to demonstrate a response to bilateral pallidal stimulation. Mov Disord 2007;22:1790–1793.
- Martinez-Torres I, Limousin P, Tisch S, et al. Early and marked benefit with GPi DBS for Lubag syndrome presenting with rapidly progressive life-threatening dystonia. Mov Disord 2009;24:1710–1712.
- 62. Cif L, Valente EM, Hemm S, et al. Deep brain stimulation in myoclonus-dystonia syndrome. Mov Disord 2004;19:724–727.
- 63. Trottenberg T, Meissner W, Kabus C, et al. Neurostimulation of the ventral intermediate thalamic nucleus in inherited myoclonusdystonia syndrome. Mov Disord 2001;6:769–771.
- Magarinos-Ascone CM., Regidor I, Martinez-Castrillo JC, Gomez-Galan M, Figueiras-Mendez R. Pallidal stimulation relieves myoclonus-dystonia syndrome. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2005; 76:989–991.
- 65. Vercueil L, Pollak P, Fraix V, et al. Deep brain stimulation in the treatment of severe dystonia. J Neurol 2001;248:695–700.
- 66. Krauss JK, Loher TJ, Weigel R, Capelle HH, Weber S, Burgunder JM. Chronic stimulation of the globus pallidus internus for treatment of non-DYT1 generalized dystonia and choreoathetosis: 2-year follow up. J Neurosurg 2003;98:785–792.
- Vidailhet M, Yelnik J, Lagrange C, et al. Bilateral pallidal deep brain stimulation for the treatment of patients with dystonia-choreoathetosis cerebral palsy: a prospective pilot study. Lancet Neurol 2009;8:709–717.
- 68. Katsakiori PF. Deep brain stimulation for secondary dystonia: results in 8 patients. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 2009;151:473–478; discussion478.

- Bronte-Stewart H. Surgical therapy for dystonia. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep 2003;3:296–305.
- Diamond A, Shahed J, Azher S, Dat-Vuong K, Jankovic J. Globus pallidus deep brain stimulation in dystonia. Mov Disord 2006;21: 692–695.
- 71. Tagliati M, Shils J, Sun C, Alterman R. Deep brain stimulation for dystonia. Expert Rev Med Devices 2004;1:33-41.
- 72. Wang S, Liu X, Yianni J, et al. Use of surface electromyography to assess and select patients with idiopathic dystonia for bilateral pallidal stimulation. J Neurosurg 2006;105:21–25.
- 73. Yianni J, Wang SY, Liu X, et al. A dominant bursting electromyograph pattern in dystonic conditions predicts an early response to pallidal stimulation. J Clin Neurosci 2006;13:738–746.
- 74. Krauss JK, Yianni J, Loher TJ, Aziz TZ. Deep brain stimulation for dystonia. J Clin Neurophysiol 2004;21:18–30.
- Das K, Benzil DL, Rovit RL, Murali R, Couldwell WT. Irving S.Cooper(1922–1985): a pioneer in functional neurosurgery. J Neurosurg 1998;89;865–873.
- 76. Benabid AL, Koudsie A, Benazzouz A, et al. Deep brain stimulation of the corpus luysi (subthalamic nucleus) and other targets in Parkinson's disease. Extensions to new indications such as dystonia and epilepsy. J Neurol 2001;248 (Suppl)III:37–47.
- 77. Chou KL, Hurtig HI, Jaggi JL, Baltuch GH. Bilateral subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation in a patient with cervical dystonia and essential tremor. Mov Disord 2005;20:377–380.

- 78. Fukaya C, Katayama Y, Kano T, et al. Thalamic deep brain stimulation for writer's cramp. J Neurosurg 2007;107:977–982.
- Katayama Y, Fukaya C, Kobayashi K, Oshima H, Yamamoto T. Chronic stimulation of the globus pallidus internus for control of primary generalized dystonia. Acta Neurochir Suppl 2003;87: 125–128.
- Moro E, Lang AE, Strafella AP, et al. Bilateral globus pallidus stimulation for Huntington's disease. Ann Neurol 2004;56: 290–294.
- Hebb MO, Garcia R, Gaudet P, Mendez IM. Bilateral stimulation of the globus pallidus internus to treat choreathetosis in Huntington's disease: technical case report. Neurosurgery 2006; 58:E383.
- 82. Fasano A, Mazzone P, Piano C, et al. GPi-DBS in Huntington's disease: results on motor function and cognition in a 72-year-old case. Mov Disord 2008;23:1289–1292.
- Guehl D, Cuny E, Tison F, et al. Deep brain pallidal stimulation for movement disorders in neuroacanthocytosis. Neurology 2007; 68:160–161.
- Taira T, Kobayashi T, Hori T. Disappearance of self-mutilating behavior in a patient with Lesch-Nyhan syndrome after bilateral chronic stimulation of the globus pallidus internus. Case report. J Neurosurg 2003;98:414–416.
- 85. Roze E, Paschke E, Lopez N, et al. Dystonia and parkinsonism in GM1 type 3 gangliosidosis. Mov Disord 2005;20:1366–1369.