MICROECONOMICS

Principles and Analysis

GENERAL EQUILIBRIUM: EXCESS DEMAND AND THE RÔLE OF PRICES

SOME UNSETTLED QUESTIONS

- Under what circumstances can we be sure that an equilibrium exists?
- Will the economy somehow “tend” to this equilibrium?
- And will this determine the price system for us?
- We will address these using the standard model of a general-equilibrium system
- To do this we need just one more new concept.

OVERVIEW...



Definition and properties

INGREDIENTS OF THE EXCESS DEMAND FUNCTION

- Aggregate demands (the sum of individual households' demands).
- Aggregate net-outputs (the sum of individual firms' net outputs).
- Resources.
- Incomes determined by prices.

AGGREGATE CONSUMPTION, NET OUTPUT

- From household's demand function

$$x_i^h = D^{ih}(\mathbf{p}, y^h)$$
$$= D^{ih}(\mathbf{p}, y^h(\mathbf{p}))$$

- Because incomes depend on prices

- So demands are just functions of $\mathbf{p}$

$$x_i^h = x_i^h(\mathbf{p})$$

- $x_i^h(\bullet)$ depends on holdings of resources and shares

- If all goods are private (rival) then aggregate demands can be written:

$$x_i(\mathbf{p}) = \Sigma_h\, x_i^h(\mathbf{p})$$

- "Rival": extra consumers require additional resources. Same as in "consumer: aggregation"

- From firm's supply of net output

$$q_i^f = q_i^f(\mathbf{p})$$

- standard supply functions/ demand for inputs

- Aggregate:

$$q_i = \Sigma_f\, q_i^f(\mathbf{p})$$

- aggregation is valid if there are no externalities. Just as in "Firm and the market")

DERIVATION OF $X_i(P)$

- *Alf's demand curve for good 1.*
- *Bill's demand curve for good 1.*
- *Pick any price*
- *Sum of consumers' demand*
- *Repeat to get the market demand curve*



DERIVATION OF $Q_I(P)$

- *Supply curve firm 1 (from MC).*
- *Supply curve firm 2.*
- *Pick any price*
- *Sum of individual firms' supply*
- *Repeat…*
- *The market supply curve*



SUBTRACT Q AND R FROM X TO GET E:



EQUILIBRIUM IN TERMS OF EXCESS DEMAND

Equilibrium is characterised by a price vector $\mathbf{p}^* \geq \mathbf{0}$ such that:

- For every good i:

$$E_i(\mathbf{p}^*) \leq 0$$

- For each good i that has a positive price in equilibrium (i.e. if $p_i^* > 0$):

$$E_i(\mathbf{p}^*) = 0$$

The materials balance condition (dressed up a bit)

If this is violated, then somebody, somewhere isn't maximising...

You can only have excess supply of a good in equilibrium if the price of that good is 0.

USING E TO FIND THE EQUILIBRIUM

- Five steps to the equilibrium allocation
  1. From technology compute firms' net output functions and profits.
  2. From property rights compute household incomes and thus household demands.
  3. Aggregate the **x**s and **q**s and use **x**, **q**, **R** to compute **E**
  4. Find $\mathbf{p}^*$ as a solution to the system of **E** functions
  5. Plug $\mathbf{p}^*$ into demand functions and net output functions to get the allocation
- But this begs some questions about step 4

ISSUES IN EQUILIBRIUM ANALYSIS

- Existence
  - Is there any such p*?
- Uniqueness
  - Is there only one p*?
- Stability
  - Will p “tend to” p*?
- For answers we use some fundamental properties of E.

TWO FUNDAMENTAL PROPERTIES...

- Walras' Law. For *any* price $\mathbf{p}$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i E_i(\mathbf{p}) = 0$$

You only have to work with n-1 (rather than n) equations

Hint #1: think about the "adding-up" property of demand functions...

- Homogeneity of degree 0. For *any* price $\mathbf{p}$ and any $t > 0$:

$$E_i(t\mathbf{p}) = E_i(\mathbf{p})$$

You can normalise the prices by any positive number

Hint #2: think about the homogeneity property of demand functions...

Link to consumer demand

Can you explain why they are true?
Reminder : these hold for any competitive allocation, not just equilibrium

PRICE NORMALISATION

- We may need to convert from n numbers $p_1, p_2, \ldots p_n$ to $n-1$ relative prices.
- The precise method is essentially arbitrary.
- The choice of method depends on the purpose of your model.
- It can be done in a variety of ways:

You could divide by

set of prices that sum to 1

$$\sum_{i=1} p_i$$

to give a

Mars bar theory of value

- *This method might seem <u>weird</u>*
- *But it has a nice property.*
- *The set of all normalised prices is convex and compact.*

NORMALISED PRICES, *N*=2



- ***The set of normalised prices***
- ***The price vector (0,75, 0.25)***

NORMALISED PRICES, *N*=3



- *The set of normalised prices*
- *The price vector (0,5, 0.25, 0.25)*

OVERVIEW...

General Equilibrium: Excess Demand+

- Excess Demand Functions
- Equilibrium Issues
  - **Existence**
  - Uniqueness
  - Stability
- Prices and Decentralisation

Is there any p*?

APPROACH TO THE EXISTENCE PROBLEM

- Imagine a rule that moves prices in the direction of excess demand:
  - "if $E_i > 0$, increase p_i"
  - "if $E_i < 0$ and $p_i > 0$, decrease p_i"
  - An example of this under "stability" below.
- This rule uses the *E*-functions to map the set of prices into itself.
- An equilibrium exists if this map has a "fixed point."
  - a $\mathbf{p}^*$ that is mapped into itself?
- To find the conditions for this, use normalised prices
  - $\mathbf{p} \in J$.
  - J is a compact, convex set.
- We can examine this in the special case $n = 2$.
  - In this case normalisation implies that $p_2 \equiv 1 - p_1$.

Why?

EXISTENCE OF EQUILIBRIUM?

Why boundedness below?

As $p_2 \to 0$, by normalisation, $p_1 \to 1$

As $p_2 \to 0$ if E_2 is bounded below then $p_2 E_2 \to 0$.

By Walras' Law, this implies $p_1 E_1 \to 0$ as $p_1 \to 1$

So if E_2 is bounded below then E_1 can't be everywhere positive

- *ED diagram, normalised prices*
- *Excess demand function with well-defined equilibrium price*
- *Case with discontinuous E*
- *Case where excess demand for good2 is unbounded below*



E-functions are:

- *continuous,*
- *bounded below*

- *No equilibrium price where E crosses the axis*
- *E never crosses the axis*

EXISTENCE: A BASIC RESULT

- An equilibrium price vector must exist if:
  1. excess demand functions are continuous and
  2. bounded from below.
  - ("continuity" can be weakened to "upper-hemi-continuity").
- Boundedness is no big deal.
  - Can you have infinite excess supply...?
- However continuity might be tricky.
  - Let's put it on hold.
  - We examine it under "the rôle of prices"

OVERVIEW...

General Equilibrium: Excess Demand+

- Excess Demand Functions
- Equilibrium Issues
  - Existence
  - **Uniqueness**
  - Stability
- Prices and Decentralisation

Is there just one p*?

THE UNIQUENESS PROBLEM

- Multiple equilibria imply multiple allocations, at normalised prices…
- …with reference to a given property distribution.
- Will not arise if the E-functions satisfy WARP.
- If WARP is not satisfied this can lead to some startling behaviour…

MULTIPLE EQUILIBRIA

- *Three equilibrium prices*
- *Suppose there were more of resource 1*
- *Now take some of resource 1 away*



OVERVIEW...

Will the system tend to p*?



STABILITY ANALYSIS

- We need…
- A definition of equilibrium
- A process
- Initial conditions

A STABLE EQUILIBRIUM

Stable:

If we apply a small shock the built-in adjustment process (gravity) restores the status quo



AN UNSTABLE EQUILIBRIUM



Unstable:

If we apply a small shock the built-in adjustment process (gravity) moves us away from the status quo

"GRAVITY" IN THE CE MODEL

- Imagine there is an auctioneer to announce prices, and to adjust if necessary.
- If good *i* is in excess demand, increase its price.
- If good *i* is in excess supply, decrease its price (if it hasn't already reached zero).
- Nobody trades till the auctioneer has finished.

"GRAVITY" IN THE CE MODEL: THE AUCTIONEER USING TÂTONNEMENT



ADJUSTMENT AND STABILITY

- Adjust prices according to sign of E_i:
  - If $E_i > 0$ then increase p_i
  - If $E_i < 0$ and $p_i > 0$ then decrease p_i
- A linear tâtonnement adjustment mechanism:

$$\frac{dp_i(t)}{dt} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \alpha_i E_i\left(\mathbf{p}(t)\right) & if\ p_i(t) \geq 0 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{array} \right\}$$

- Define distance between $\mathbf{p}(t)$ and equilibrium $\mathbf{p}^*$.
- Given WARP, then under tâtonnement distance must fall with t.

GLOBALLY STABLE...



- *Start with a very high price*
- *Yields excess supply*
- *Under tâtonnement price falls*
- *Start instead with a low price*
- *Yields excess demand*
- *Under tâtonnement price rises*

- *If E satisfies WARP then the system must converge...*

NOT GLOBALLY STABLE...



OVERVIEW...

General Equilibrium: Excess Demand+

- Excess Demand Functions
- Equilibrium Issues
- Prices and Decentralisation

The separation theorem and the role of large numbers

DECENTRALISATION

- Recall the important result on decentralisation that we discussed in the case of Crusoe's island.

Link to Crusoe:

- The counterpart is true for this multi-person world.
- Requires assumptions about convexity of two sets, defined at the aggregate level:
  - the "attainable set": $A := \{x: x \leq q+R, \Phi(q) \leq 0\}$
  - the "better-than" set: $B(x^*) := \{\Sigma_h x^h: U^h(x^h) \geq U^h(x^{*h})\}$
- To see the power of the result we can appeal to an "averaging" result we used in lecture for the firm

Link to Firm and market

DECENTRALISATION AGAIN



- *The attainable set*
- *The "Better-than-$\mathbf{x}^*$" set*
- *The price line*
- *Decentralisation*

- $A = \{\mathbf{x}: \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{q}+\mathbf{R}, \Phi(\mathbf{q}) \leq 0\}$
- $B = \{\sum_h \mathbf{x}^h: U^h(\mathbf{x}^h) \geq U^h(\mathbf{x}^{*h})\}$
- *x^* maximises income over A*
- *x^* minimises expenditure over B*

PROBLEMS WITH PRICES

- Either non-convex technology (increasing returns or other indivisibilities) for some firms, or…
- …non-convexity of *B*-set (non-concave-contoured preferences) for some households…
- …may imply discontinuous excess demand function and so…
- …absence of equilibrium.
- But if there are are large numbers of agents everything may be OK.

A NON-CONVEX TECHNOLOGY



- *The case with 1 firm*
- *Rescaled case of 2 firms,*
- *… , 8 , 16*
- *Limit of the averaging process*
- *The "Better-than" set*
- *"separating" prices and equilibrium*

- *Limiting attainable set is convex*
- *Equilibrium* $\mathbf{q}^*$ *is sustained by a mixture of firms at* $\mathbf{q}^\circ$ *and* $\mathbf{q}'$.

NON-CONVEX PREFERENCES



- *The case with 1 person*
- *Rescaled case of 2 persons,*
- *A continuum of consumers*
- *The attainable set*
- *"separating" prices and equilibrium*

- *Limiting better-than set is convex*
- *Equilibrium* $\mathbf{x}^*$ *is sustained by a mixture of consumers at* $\mathbf{x}^\circ$ *and* $\mathbf{x}'$.

SUMMARY

- Excess demand functions are handy tools for getting results.
- Continuity and boundedness ensure existence of equilibrium.
- WARP ensures uniqueness and stability.
- But requirements of continuity may be demanding.

Review

Review

Review

Review