## MICROECONOMICS

Principles and Analysis
CONSUMER: WELFARE

## USING CONSUMER THEORY

* Consumer analysis is not just a matter of consumers' reactions to prices.
* We pick up the effect of prices on incomes on attainable utility - consumer's welfare.
* This is useful in the design of economic policy, for example. The tax structure?
* We can use a number of tools that have become standard in applied microeconomics price indices?


## HOW TO MEASURE A PERSON'S <br> "WELFARE"?

* We could use some concepts that we already have.
* Assume that people know what's best for them...
$\times$...So that the preference map can be used as a guide.
* We need to look more closely at the concept of "maximised utility"...
* ...the indirect utility function again.


## THE TWO ASPECTS OF THE PROBLEM

- Primal: Max utility subject to
the budget constraint
- Dual: Min cost subject to a
utility constraint
an increase in budget?
- What effect on min-cost of
an increase in target utility?

Interpretation Interpretation
of Lagrange of Lagrange
multipliers

INTERPRETING THE LAGRANGE MULTIPLIER (1)


## INTERPRETING THE LAGRANGE MULTIPLIER (2)


Once again, at the optimum either the constraint binds or the Lagrange multiplier is zero
(Make use of the conditional demand functions $\left.x_{i}{ }^{*}=H^{i}(\mathbf{p}, v)\right)$
Differentiate with resp
$C_{v}(\mathbf{p}, v)=\sum_{i} p_{i} H^{i}{ }_{v}(\mathbf{p}, v)$
$\qquad$

$$
-\lambda^{*}\left[\Sigma _ { i } U _ { i } ( \mathbf { x } ^ { * } ) \left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { Vanishes because of } \\
\text { FOC } \lambda^{*} U_{( }\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)=p_{i}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Rearrange:
$C_{\nu}(\mathbf{p}, v)=\Sigma_{i}\left[p_{i}-\lambda^{*} U_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}\right)\right] H_{u}^{i}(\mathbf{p}, v)+\lambda^{*}$
$C_{\nu}(\mathbf{p}, v)=\lambda^{*}$

Again we have an application of the general envelope theorem.

## A USEFUL CONNECTION



## UTILITY AND INCOME: LIMITATIONS

* This gives us some useful insights but is limited: marginal changes of income..
* ...and an interpretation of the Lagrange multipliers
* The Lagrange multiplier on the income constraint (primal problem) is the marginal utility of income.
* The Lagrange multiplier on the utility constraint (dual problem) is the marginal cost of utility.
× But does this give us all we need?

We have focused only on marginal effects - infinitesimal income changes.

We have dealt only with income not the effect of changes in prices

* We need a general method of characterising the impact of budget changes: valid for arbitrary price changes easily interpretable
$\times$ For the essence of the problem re-examine the basic diagram.

THE PROBLEM...


## APPROACHES TO VALUING UTILITY CHANGE



A more productive idea:
Use income not utility as a measuring rod
To do the transformation we use the V function
We can do this in (at least) two ways..

## STORY NUMBER 1

$\times$ Suppose p is the original price vector and $\mathbf{p}^{\prime}$ is vector after good 1 becomes cheaper.
$\times$ This causes utility to rise from $v$ to $v^{\prime}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
+v & =V(\mathbf{p}, y) \\
+v^{\prime} & =V\left(\mathbf{p}^{\prime}, y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\times$ Express this rise in money terms?
What hypothetical change in income would bring the person back to the starting point?
(and is this the right question to ask...?)

* Gives us a standard definition...

THE COMPENSATING VARIATION


## HERE'S STORY NUMBER 2

$\times$ Again suppose:
$+p$ is the original price vector
$+\mathbf{p}$ ' is the price vector after good 1 becomes cheaper.
$\times$ This again causes utility to rise from $v$ to $v^{\prime}$.
$x$ But now, ask ourselves a different question:
Suppose the price fall had never happened
What hypothetical change in income would have been needed ...

+ ...to bring the person to the new utility level?

IN THIS VERSION OF THE STORY WE GET THE EQUIVALENT VARIATION

| $v^{\prime}=V\left(\mathbf{p}^{\prime}, y\right)$ | the utility level at new prices $\mathbf{p}$ ' and income $y$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $v^{\prime}=V(\mathbf{p}, y+\mathrm{EV})$ | the new utility level reached at original prices $\mathbf{p}$ |
|  | - The amount EV is just sufficient to "mimic" the effect of going from $\boldsymbol{p}$ to $\boldsymbol{p}$ '. |

## THE EQUIVALENT VARIATION



## CV AND EV...

$\times$ Both definitions have used the indirect utility function.

+ But this may not be the most intuitive approach So look for another standard tool..
$\times$ As we have seen there is a close relationship between the functions $V$ and $C$.
* So we can reinterpret CV and EV using C.
* The result will be a welfare measure the change in cost of hitting a welfare level.
remember: cost decreases mean welfare increases.


## WELFARE CHANGE AS - $\Delta$ (COST)


$\mathrm{CV}\left(\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right)=C(\mathbf{p}, v)-C(\mathbf{p}, v) \quad(-)$ change in cost of hitting utility level $v$. If positive we have a welfare increase.

- Equivalent Variation as $-\Delta$ (cost):
$\operatorname{EV}\left(\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right)=C\left(\mathbf{p}, v^{\prime}\right)-C\left(\mathbf{p}^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$
- Using the above definitions we also have
$\mathrm{CV}\left(\mathbf{p}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}\right)=C\left(\mathbf{p}^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)-C\left(\mathbf{p}, v^{\prime}\right)$
$=-\operatorname{EV}\left(\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right)$
$(-)$ change in cost of hitting utility level $v^{\prime}$. If positive we have a welfare increase.

Looking at welfare change in the reverse direction, starting at $\mathbf{p}^{\prime}$ and moving to $\mathbf{p}$.
$=-\operatorname{EV}\left(\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right)$

## WELFARE MEASURES APPLIED...

* The concepts we have developed are regularly put to work in practice.
* Applied to issues such as:

Consumer welfare indices
Price indices
Cost-Benefit Analysis
$\times$ Often this is done using some (acceptable?) approximations...

## COST-OF-LIVING INDICES




COMPENSATED DEMAND AND THE VALUE OF A PRICE FALL (2)


## ORDINARY DEMAND AND THE VALUE OF A PRICE FALL



THREE WAYS OF MEASURING THE BENEFITS OF A PRICE FALL

SUMMARY: KEY CONCEPTS
$\times$ Interpretation of Lagrange multiplier
$\times$ Compensating variation
$\times$ Equivalent variation
$\quad$ + CV and EV are measured in monetary units.
$\quad$ In all cases: $\mathrm{CV}\left(\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right)=-\mathrm{EV}\left(\mathbf{p}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}\right)$.
$\times$ Consumer's surplus
$\quad$ + The CS is a convenient approximation

+ For normal goods: $\mathrm{CV} \leq \mathrm{CS} \leq \mathrm{EV}$.
+ For inferior goods: $\mathrm{CV}>\mathrm{CS}>\mathrm{EV}$.

