**Clustering algorithms** Konstantinos Koutroumbas

# <u>Unit 11</u>

- Density-based clust. Alg. (DENCLUE)
- Spectral clustering
- Clustering for high-dim. data (dim. reduction, subspace clust.)
- Combination of clusterings

DENsity-based CLUstEring (DENCLUE) Algorithm Definitions

The influence function  $f^{y}(x)$  for a point  $y \in X$  is a positive function that decays to zero as x "moves away" from  $y(d(x, y) \rightarrow \infty)$ . Typical examples are:

$$f^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) < \sigma \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \qquad f^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-\frac{d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})^2}{2\sigma^2}} \end{cases}$$

where  $\sigma$  is a user-defined function.

The density function based on *X* is defined as (Remember the Parzen windows):

$$f^X(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^N f^{x_i}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

The Goal:

(a) **Identify** all "significant" local maxima,  $x_j^*$ , j = 1, ..., m, of  $f^X(x)$ (b) **Create** a cluster  $C_j$  for each  $x_j^*$  and **assign** to  $C_j$  all points x of X that lie within the "region of attraction" of  $x_j^*$ .

### The DENCLUE Algorithm (cont.)

Two clarifications

- The region of attraction of  $x_j^*$  is defined as the set of points  $x \in \mathbb{R}^l$  such that if a "hill-climbing" (such as the steepest ascent) method is **applied** on  $f^X(x)$ , initialized by x, it will **terminate** arbitrarily close to  $x_j^*$ .
- A local maximum is considered as significant if  $f^X(x_j^*) \ge \xi$  ( $\xi$  is a userdefined parameter).

<u>Approximation of  $f^{X}(x)$ </u>

$$f^{X}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f^{x_{i}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{i} \in Y(\boldsymbol{x})} f^{x_{i}}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

where Y(x) is the set of points in X that lie "close" to x.

The above framework is used by the DENCLUE algorithm.

### The DENCLUE Algorithm (cont.)

DENCLUE algorithm

- Preclustering stage (identification of regions dense in points of X)
  - > Apply an *l*-dimensional grid of <u>edge-length</u>  $2\sigma$  in the  $R^l$  space.
  - > **Determine** the set  $D_p$  of the hypercubes that contain at least one point of *X*.
  - ▶ **Determine** the set  $D_{sp}$  (⊂  $D_p$ ) that contains the "highly populated" cubes of  $D_p$  (that is, cubes that contain at least  $\xi_c > 1$  points of X).
  - For each  $c \in D_{sp}$  define a connection with all neighboring cubes  $c_j$  in  $D_p$ for which  $d(\mathbf{m}_c, \mathbf{m}_{c_j}) \le 4\sigma$ , where  $\mathbf{m}_c, \mathbf{m}_{c_j}$  are the means of c and  $c_j$ , respectively.
- <u>Main stage</u>

> **Determine** the set  $D_r$  that contains:

-the highly populated cubes and

-the cubes that have at least one connection with a highly populated

cube.



DENCLUE algorithm (cont.)

- Main stage (cont.)
- For each point  $\mathbf{x}$  in a cube  $\mathbf{c} \in D_r$ 
  - > Determine Y(x) as the set of points that belong to cubes  $c_j$  in  $D_r$  such that the mean values of  $c_j$ 's lie at distance less than  $\lambda \cdot \sigma$  from x (typically  $\lambda = 4$ ).
  - > Apply a hill climbing method on  $f^X(x) = \sum_{x_i \in Y(x)} f^{x_i}(x)$  starting from xand let  $x^*$  be the local maximum to which the method converges.
  - $\succ$  If  $x^*$  is a significant local maximum ( $f^X(x^*) \ge \xi$ ) then
    - -If a cluster *C* associated with  $x^*$  <u>has already been created</u> then

o\_x is assigned to C

–<u>Else</u>

o **Create** a cluster *C* **associated** with  $x^*$ 

o Assign x to C

–<u>End if</u>

- ➤ End if
- End for



#### The DENCLUE Algorithm (cont.)

#### **Remarks:**

- Shortcuts allow the assignment of points to clusters, without having to apply the hill-climbing procedure.
- **DENCLUE** is able to **detect** arbitrarily shaped clusters.
- The algorithm **deals** with **noise** very satisfactory.
- The worst-case time complexity of DENCLUE is  $O(N \log_2 N)$ .
- Experimental results indicate that the average time complexity is  $O(\log_2 N)$ .
- It works efficiently with high-dimensional data.

Spectral clustering is **based** on graph theory concepts.

**Rationale:** It actually maps the data from their original space, where they may form arbitrarily-shaped clusters, to a new space, where (their images) form compact clusters.

#### Main stages:

- $\succ$  **Definition** of a similarity graph G based on the given data set X.
- $\succ$  Utilization of the Laplacian matrix L associated with G.
- > Mapping of the data set to a space spanned by some eigenvectors of L.
- > **Performing** clustering on the images of the data in the transformed space.

In principle, spectral clustering is able to recover arbitrarily shaped clusters (see discussion later).

### Similarity graph

- We consider **only** Data set  $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_N\}$ undirected graphs.
- Similarity graph  $G = (V, E)^{\circ \circ}$

#### Definition of a similarity graph About V

- The set V consists of N vertices/nodes,  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N$
- Each vertex  $v_i \in V$  corresponds to a  $x_i \in X$ , i = 1, ..., N.

### About E

Various scenarios lead to various graphs:

- (a) The  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood graph:
- > An edge  $e_{ij}$  is **added** between vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ , **if**  $d(x_i, x_j) < \varepsilon$ .
- > Usually it is **considered** as an **unweighted graph** (it is  $w_{ij} = 1$ , for all  $e_{ij}$ 's).

 $X = \{\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_N\}$ 

 $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N\}$ 

By convention,

absence of *e*<sub>*ii*</sub>.

 $w_{ii} = 0$ , implies

Similarity graph

Definition of a similarity graph

<u>About E</u>

- (b) The *k*-nearest neighbor graph:
- > An edge  $e_{ij}$  is **added** between vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ , **if**  $v_i$  is among the knearest neighbors of  $v_j$  OR vice versa.
- > Each  $e_{ij}$  is weighted by the similarity between  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ .
- (c) The mutual k-nearest neighbor graph:
- > An edge  $e_{ij}$  is **added** between vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ , **if**  $v_i$  is among the knearest neighbors of  $v_j$  AND vice versa.
- $\succ$  Each  $e_{ij}$  is weighted by the similarity between  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ .
- (d) The fully connected graph:
- > All possible edges  $e_{ij}$  are added in the graph.
- $\succ$  Each  $e_{ij}$  is weighted by the similarity between  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ , e.g.,

$$s(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \exp(-\frac{\left||\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|\right|^2}{2\sigma^2})$$

# Similarity graph **Example:**

The data set consists of

(i) two "half moon"
clusters and
(ii) a compact cluster of
different density from
the previous ones.

The resulting graphs are shown in the figure.



**Graph Laplacians** 

- There are various definitions for graph Laplacian matrix.
- All such matrices share some properties that allow their exploitation in the frame of clustering.

### Some definitions: - Weighted adjacency matrix: $W = [w_{ij}]_{N \times N}$ - Degree of a vertex $v_i$ : $d_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{ij}, i = 1, ..., N$ - Degree matrix: $I_{i=1} = 0$

$$D_{N \times N} = diag(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_N) = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & d_N \end{bmatrix}_{N \times N}$$

- (Unnormalized) graph Laplacian matrix:

$$L_{N\times N}=D-W$$

**Graph Laplacians** 

Some results for the unnormalized graph Laplacian L:

1. 
$$\forall \mathbf{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_N]^T \in \mathbb{R}^N$$
 it is  
$$\mathbf{x}^T L \mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N w_{ij} (x_i - x_j)^2$$

- 2. *L* is symmetric and positive semidefinite.
- 3. The smallest eigenvalue of *L* is 0.

4. *L* has *N* non-negative real-valued eigenvalues  $0 = \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \cdots \le \lambda_N$ .

5. Let *G* be an undirected graph with nonnegative weights. Then the multiplicity *k* of the zero eigenvalue equals to the number of the connected components  $A_1, \ldots, A_k$ , of the graph. In addition, the eigenspace of the zero eigenvalues is spanned by the (*N*-dimensional) <u>indicator vectors</u> of those components,  $\mathbf{1}_{A_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$ .

The indicator vector  $\mathbf{1}_{A_i}$  has all of its components equal 0 except those corresponding to the points that belong to the k-th connected component.,

which are equal to 1.

**Graph Laplacians:** Some results for the unnormalized graph Laplacian L: 5. Let G be an undirected graph with nonnegative weights ( $w_{ij} \ge 0$ ). Then the multiplicity k of the zero eigenvalue equals to the number of the connected components  $A_1, ..., A_k$ , of the graph. In addition, the eigenspace of the zero eigenvalue is spanned by the (N-dimensional) indicator vectors of those components,  $\mathbf{1}_{A_1}, ..., \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$ .

indicator vectors of those components,  $\mathbf{1}_{A_1}, \dots, \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$ . - The k = 1 case (connected graph): It is  $0 = |L - \lambda I| = \begin{vmatrix} d_1 - \lambda & -w_{12} & \cdots & -w_{1N} \\ -w_{12} & d_2 - \lambda & \cdots & -w_{2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -w_{1N} & -w_{2N} & \cdots & d_N - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & -w_{12} & \cdots & -w_{1N} \\ -\lambda & d_2 - \lambda & \cdots & -w_{2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\lambda & -w_{2N} & \cdots & d_N - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & -w_{12} & \cdots & -w_{1N} \\ -\lambda & d_2 - \lambda & \cdots & -w_{2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\lambda & -w_{2N} & \cdots & d_N - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & -w_{12} & \cdots & -w_{1N} \\ -\lambda & d_2 - \lambda & \cdots & -w_{2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\lambda & -w_{2N} & \cdots & d_N - \lambda \end{vmatrix}$ 

$$-\lambda \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -w_{12} & \cdots & -w_{1N} \\ 1 & d_2 - \lambda & \cdots & -w_{2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & -w_{2N} & \cdots & d_N - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = -\lambda \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -w_{12} & \cdots & -w_{1N} \\ 0 & d_2 + w_{12} - \lambda & \cdots & -w_{2N} + w_{1N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & -w_{2N} + w_{12} & \cdots & d_N + w_{1N} - \lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

 $= -\lambda \begin{vmatrix} d_2 + w_{12} - \lambda & \cdots & -w_{2N} + w_{1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -w_{2N} + w_{12} & \cdots & d_N + w_{1N} - \lambda \end{vmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \lambda_1 = 0, (\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N > 0)$ Thus, multiplicity of the zero eigenvalue is 1. The <u>associated</u> eigenvector is the **1**, since **0** = 0 · **1** = L · **1** 

**Graph Laplacians:** S<u>ome results for the unnormalized graph Laplacian L</u>: 5. Let *G* be an undirected graph with nonnegative weights ( $w_{ij} \ge 0$ ). Then the multiplicity *k* of the zero eigenvalue equals to the number of the connected components  $A_1, ..., A_k$ , of the graph. In addition, the eigenspace of the zero eigenvalue is spanned by the (*N*-dimensional) indicator vectors of those components,  $\mathbf{1}_{A_1}, ..., \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$ .

- The k = 1 case (connected graph):
- The <u>associated</u> eigenvector is the **1**, since  $\mathbf{0} = 0 \cdot \mathbf{1} = L \cdot \mathbf{1}$

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\\vdots\\1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & -w_{12} & \cdots & -w_{1N}\\ -w_{12} & d_2 & \cdots & -w_{2N}\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ -w_{1N} & -w_{2N} & \cdots & d_N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\\vdots\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

0

 $d_i = \sum_{j=1}^N w_{ij}$  ,  $w_{ii} = 0$  .

- **Graph Laplacians:** S<u>ome results for the unnormalized graph Laplacian L</u>: 5. Let *G* be an undirected graph with nonnegative weights ( $w_{ij} \ge 0$ ). Then the multiplicity *k* of the zero eigenvalue equals to the number of the connected components  $A_1, ..., A_k$ , of the graph. In addition, the eigenspace of the zero eigenvalue is spanned by the (*N*-dimensional) indicator vectors of those components,  $\mathbf{1}_{A_1}, ..., \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$ .
- The k > 1 case (k connected components):
  - Considering each connected component individually, the *i*-th component has its own associated Laplacian  $L_i$
  - Then the Laplacian for the whole graph can be written as

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & & L_k \end{bmatrix}$$
 The spectrum of *L* is given by the union of the spectra of  $L_i$ 's.

- Since, the multiplicity of the zero eigenvalue is 1 for each  $L_i \implies$  the multiplicity of the zero eigenvalue is k for L.
- Denoting  $|A_1| = n_1$ ,  $\mathbf{1}_{A_1}$  has its first  $n_1$  (resp. remaining) components equal to  $\mathbf{1}$ (resp. 0),  $\mathbf{1}_{A_1} = [\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{1}, 0, 0, \dots, 0]^T$ . Then,  $\mathbf{0}_{n_1 \times 1} = 0 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{n_1 \times 1} = L_1 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{n_1 \times 1} \Rightarrow \mathbf{0}_{N \times 1} = 0 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A_1, N \times 1} = L \cdot \mathbf{1}_{N \times 1}$

#### Unnormalized spectral clustering algorithm

**Input:** (a) Similarity matrix  $S \in R^{N \times N}$ , (b) the number of clusters m

- **Construct** a similarity graph with weighed adjacency matrix W.
- **Compute** the unnormalized Laplacian *L*.
- Compute the <u>first</u> m (column) eigenvectors of L,  $u_1$ , ...,  $u_m$ .
- Stack  $u_1, \ldots, u_m$  on an  $N \times m$  matrix U.
- **Represent** each data vector  $x_i$  by the *i*-th row  $y_i$  of U.
- **Cluster** the points  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , i = 1, ..., N, using e.g., the *k*-means algorithm, into clusters  $C_1', C_2', ..., C_m'$ .

**Output:** Clusters  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m$ , with  $C_i = \{x_j: y_j \in C_i'\}$ 

# Unnormalized spectral clustering algorithm **Example:**

Data set 
$$X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$$
  
Similarity graph:

 $G = (V, E) = (\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}, \{e_{13}, e_{24}, e_{25}, e_{45}\})$   $\underbrace{Nodes \ degree:}_{d_1} = w_{13}, d_2 = w_{24} + w_{25}, d_3 = w_{13}$   $\frac{d_4}{d_4} = w_{24} + w_{45}, \ d_5 = w_{25} + w_{45}$ 

*Laplacian* of the whole graph:

$$L = D - W$$



 $A_1$ 

 $A_2$ 

$$|L - \lambda I| = \dots = \lambda^2 \begin{vmatrix} 2w_{13} - \lambda & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 2w_{24} + w_{45} - \lambda & w_{25} - w_{45}\\ 0 & w_{24} - w_{45} & 2w_{25} + w_{45} - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0 \Leftrightarrow$$
  
$$\lambda = 0 \text{ double root}$$

# Unnormalized spectral clustering algorithm **Example:**

<u>Data set</u>  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$ Corresponding eigenvectors  $e(L \cdot e = 0 \cdot e)$ :

 $u_1 = [1,0,1,0,0]^T$  and  $u_2 = [0,1,0,1,1]^T$  since



 $A_1$ 

 $A_2$ 

Unnormalized spectral clustering algorithm **Example:** 

# The eigenvectors corresponding to the zero eigenspace are $u_1 = [1,0,1,0,0]^T$ and $u_2 = [0,1,0,1,1]^T$

(0,1)

 $\{x_2, x_4, x_5\}$ 

 $A_1$ 

 $A_2$ 

 $v_2$ 

 $\{x_1, x_3\}$ 

(1,0)

The matrix 
$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{y}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \equiv \mathbf{y}_3 \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_3 \\ \equiv \mathbf{y}_4 \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_4 \\ \equiv \mathbf{y}_5 \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Other Laplacian matrices** 

- Symmetric Laplacian matrix:  $L_{sym} = D^{-1/2} \cdot L \cdot D^{-1/2}$
- Random walk Laplacian matrix:  $L_{rw} = D^{-1} \cdot L$

All Laplacians share similar properties concerning the zero eigenvalue. In (von Luxburg, 2007), it is suggested to use  $L_{rw}$ .

Choice of the number of clusters

#### **Example:**

The ten smallest eigenvalues of  $L_{rw}$  for a 1-dim. four-clusters problem.



In the case where m is not apriori known, it can be estimated by sorting the Laplacian eigenvalues and determining the number of the first m eigenvalues that (a) are sufficiently close to 0 and (b) the m + 1 differs significantly from them.

- What is a high-dimensionality space? Dimensionality *l* of the input space with 20 ≤ *l* ≤ *few thousands* indicate high-dimensional data sets.
- Problems of <u>considering simultaneously</u> all dimensions in high-dimensional data sets:
  - "Curse of dimensionality". As a fixed number of points spread out in highdimensional spaces, they become almost equidistant (that is, the terms similarity and dissimilarity tend to become meaningless – alternatively, no clear structures are defined).
  - Several dimensions may be <u>irrelevant</u> to the identification of the clusters (that is, the clusters usually are identified in subspaces of the original feature space).
- A way out: *Work* on *subspaces of dimension lower than l*.
- Main approaches:
  - Dimensionality reduction clustering approach.
  - **Subspace clustering** approach.

#### An example:



**Dimensionality Reduction Clustering Approach** 

<u>Main idea</u>

- Identify an appropriate l'-dimensional space  $H_{l'}$  (l' < l).
- **Project** the data points of X into  $H_{l'}$ .
- Apply a clustering algorithm on the projections of the points of X into  $H_{l'}$ .

**Identification** of  $H_{l'}$  may be carried out using either by:

- Feature generation methods,
- Feature selection methods,
- Random projections.

- Dimensionality Reduction Clustering Approach (cont.) Feature generation methods
- They produce new features via suitable transformations applied on the original ones.
- Typical Methods in this category are:
   Principal component analysis (PCA). Singular value decomposition (SVD).
   Nonlinear PCA Robust PCA Independent comp. analysis (ICA).
- In general, PCA and SVD methods
  - preserve the distances between the points in the high-dimensional space, when these are mapped to the lower-dimensional space.
  - produce compact representations (with reduced number of features) of the original high-dimensional feature space.
- > In some cases feature generation is applied iteratively in cooperation with a clustering algorithm (k-means, EM).
- They are useful in cases where a significant number of features contributes to the identification of all physical clusters.
- They are useful when all clusters are formed in the same subspace of the feature space.

### Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Principal component analysis (PCA):

It **transforms** the original space to a new orthogonal space (of the **same dimensionality**) where the **features** are **uncorrelated**. Specifically: along the, so called, 1<sup>st</sup> principal axis the maximum possible variance of the data set is retained, along the 2<sup>nd</sup> one the maximum possible **remained** variance is retained etc.

**Projecting** on the first few principal axes space we achieve dimensionality reduction.



### Principal Component Analysis - PCA

### **Principal Component Analysis (PCA)**



Projection along the  $a_1$  (1<sup>st</sup>) principal direction Projection along the  $a_1$  principal direction retains cluster separability.

**DOES NOT** retain cluster separability.

- Solution: Principal component analysis (PCA)
- Let  $X_{l \times N} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_N \end{bmatrix}$  and  $Y_{l' \times N} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & y_2 & \cdots & y_N \end{bmatrix}$
- Compute  $\mu_{l \times 1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i$  Consider  $X'_{l \times N} = [x_1 \mu \quad x_2 \mu \quad \cdots \quad x_N \mu]$
- **Perform** singular value decomposition (SVD) on X' taking

$$X'_{l\times N} = U'_{l\times l} \cdot \Sigma'_{l\times N} \cdot V'^{T}_{N\times N}$$

- Keep the first l' singular values (as a consequence take also (a) the first l'columns of U' and (b) the first l' columns of  $V'(\Leftrightarrow$  the first l' rows of  $V'^T$ ) and approximate X' as

$$X'^{appr}_{l \times N} = U_{l \times l'} \cdot \Sigma_{l' \times l'} \cdot V^{T}_{l' \times N}$$

- $B = U_{l \times l'}$  is the subspace basis and
- $Y_{l' \times N} = \sum_{l' \times l'} \cdot V^T_{l' \times N}$  contains (in columns) the representations/ projections of the (shifted by  $\mu$ ) original data in the lower l'-dim. space.

**Theorem:**  $X'^{appr}$ , as computed before, is the **best approximation** of X' wrt the Frobenius norm, subject to the constraint that the rank of  $X'^{appr}$  is l'.

$$||X - X'|| = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (x_{ij} - x'_{ij})^2$$

#### More on SVD

Let  $X'_{l \times N} = [x_1 - \mu \quad x_2 - \mu \quad \cdots \quad x_N - \mu]$ , with  $\mu_{l \times 1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i$ 

In the expression  $X'_{l\times N} = U'_{l\times l} \cdot \Sigma'_{l\times N} \cdot V'^{T}_{N\times N}$  $\Sigma'_{l\times N}$  (diagonal matrix) contains the **singular values** of  $X'_{l\times N}$  in decreasing order in its main diagonal (l < N)

 $U'_{l \times l}$  contains in its columns the **eigenvectors** of  $X'X'^{T}_{lxl}$ 

 $V'_{N \times N}$  contains in its columns the **eigenvectors** of  $X'^T X'_{N \times N}$ 

Let  

$$- U' = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_l \end{bmatrix} (u_i' \text{s are } l \text{-dimensional column vectors})$$

$$- V' = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_N \end{bmatrix} \implies V'^T = \begin{bmatrix} v_1^T \\ v_2^T \\ \vdots \\ v_N^T \end{bmatrix} (v_i' \text{s are } N \text{-dimensional column})$$
vectors and  $v_i^T' \text{s are } N \text{-dimensional row vectors}$ 

$$- \Sigma'_{lxN} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_l & \vdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

More on SVD

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X'}_{l \times N} &= \mathbf{U'}_{l \times l} \cdot \mathbf{\Sigma'}_{l \times N} \cdot \mathbf{V'}_{N \times N}^{T} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{1} & \mathbf{u}_{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{u}_{l} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{2} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_{l} & \vdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{1}^{T} \\ \mathbf{v}_{2}^{T} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_{N}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{1} & \mathbf{u}_{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{u}_{l} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}^{T} \\ \sigma_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}^{T} \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_{l} \mathbf{v}_{l}^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \\ &\sigma_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}^{T} + \sigma_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}^{T} + \cdots + \sigma_{l} \mathbf{u}_{l} \mathbf{v}_{l}^{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{T} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, X' is expressed as a sum of rank one matrices  $u_i v_i^T$  each one weighted by its corresponding  $\sigma_i$ .

By neglecting the terms with "small"  $\sigma_i$ 's, we actually perform dimensionality reduction, or, in other words, we determine the subspace where the data "actually live".

Dimensionality Reduction Clustering Approach (cont.)

#### Feature selection methods

- They identify the original features that are the main contributors to the formation of the clusters.
- The criteria used to evaluate the "goodness" of a specific subset of features follow either the
  - Wrapper model (The clustering algorithm is first chosen and a set of features F<sub>i</sub> is evaluated through the results obtained from the application of the algorithm to X, where for each point only the features in F<sub>i</sub> are taken into account).
  - Filter model (The evaluation of a subset of features is carried out using intrinsic properties of the data, prior to the application of the clustering algorithm).
- They are useful when all clusters are formed in the same subspace of the feature space.

- Dimensionality Reduction Clustering Approach (cont.) **Clustering using Random Projections:**
- Here  $H_{1'}$  is identified in a random manner.
- **Note:** The projection of an l-dimensional space to an l'-dimensional space (l' < l) is uniquely defined via an  $l' \times l$  projection matrix A.

#### **Issues** to be **addressed**:

(a) Proper estimate of l'. Estimates of l' guarantee (in probability) that the distances between the points of X, in the original data space will be **preserved** (with some distortion) after the projection to a randomly chosen l' -dim. space, whose projection matrix is constructed via certain probabilistic rules

**Note: Preservation** of distances does not necessarily preserves clusters.

- (b) **Definition** of the projection matrix A. Possible rules for constructing A are: **1. Set** each entry of A equal to a value stemming from an i.i.d. zero mean, unit variance Gaussian distribution and then normalize each row to the unit length.
  - **2. Set** each entry of A equal to -1 or +1, with probability 0.5.

**3. Set** each entry of A equal to  $+\sqrt{3}$ ,  $-\sqrt{3}$  or 0, with probes  $\frac{1}{6}$ ,  $\frac{1}{6}$  and  $\frac{2}{3}$ , resp.

Dimensionality Reduction Clustering Approach (cont.)

Having defined A:

- **Project** the **points** of **X** into  $H_{l'}$
- **Perform** a clustering algorithm on the projections of the points of X into  $H_{l'}$ .

**Problem:** Different random projections may lead to totally different results.

### Solution:

- > Perform several random projections  $H_{l'}$ .
- > Apply a clustering algorithm on the projections of X to each  $H_{l'}$ . > Combine the clustering results and produce the final clustering.

A method in the above spirit is described next ( $O(N^2)$ ).

- Clustering using Random Projections
- Select *l*'.
- Generate  $A_1, ..., A_r$  different projection matrices using the (b.1) rule given above.
- For s = 1 to r
  - **Fun GPrAS** with normal pdfs for the *s*-th random projection of *X*.
  - **Compute** the probability that  $x_i$  belongs to the *j*-th cluster in the *s*-th projection,  $P(C_j^s | x_i)$ , i = 1, ..., N,  $j = 1, ..., m_s$ .
  - > Create the similarity matrix  $P^s = [P_{ij}^s]$ , where  $P_{ij}^s$  is the probability that  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  belong to the same cluster,

$$P_{ij}^{s} = \sum_{q=1}^{m_{s}} P(C_{q}^{s} | \mathbf{x}_{i}) P(C_{q}^{s} | \mathbf{x}_{j})$$

*m*<sub>s</sub>: number of clusters in the *s*-th projection.

### • End for

- **Compute** the average proximity matrix  $P = [P_{ij}]$ , so that  $P_{ij}$  is the average of  $P_{ij}^{s}$ 's, s = 1, ..., r.
- Apply GAS (actually its complete link version) on *P*.
- **Plot** the similarity between the closest pair of clusters at each iteration versus the number of iterations.
- Select the clustering that corresponds to the most abrupt change in the plot.

#### Subspace Clustering Approach

- This approach deals with the problem where clusters are formed in different subspaces of the feature space.
- The subspace clustering algorithms (SCA) reveal clusters as well as the subspaces where they reside.

### An example:



#### **Preliminaries:**

- The data set  $X = \{x_i \in \mathbb{R}^l, i = 1, ..., N\}$
- (Affine linear) Subspace *S* of *R*<sup>*l*</sup>: It is defined via
  - a vector  $\mu$  in S and
  - an lxl' (basis) matrix B (l' < l)
  - as  $S = \{x \in R^l : x = \mu + B \cdot y\}$ , where  $y \in R^{l'}$
- Assuming that all the data points of X lie in an l'-dimensional (affine) subspace S, in order to determine it, we need to find:
  - A vector  $\mu \in S$
  - The dimensionality l' of S
  - The  $l \times l'$  matrix *B*.

Vidal R., "Subspace Clustering", IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 28(2), 2011.

**Basic assumption:** In subspace clustering, the clusters formed by the data points "live" in subspaces of the original *l*-dimensional data space.

 $S_i = \{ x \in R^l : x = \mu_i + B_j \cdot y \} -$ 

Usually, it is the case

that each subspace

contains a single cluster

- Aim of subspace clustering: Determine
  - the number of subspaces *m*
  - The dimensionalities  $l_1, l_2, ..., l_m$ , of the subspaces  $S_1, S_2, ..., S_m$
  - The basis matrices  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m$
  - The points  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m$ , of the subspaces  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m$ .
  - The clusters  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m$ .

#### Ways to tackle the problem

- Algebraic methods
- Spectral clustering methods
- Iterative cost function optimization methods (hard, probabilistic framework)

### Iterative cost function optimization methods (hard framework)

The *k*-subspace algorithm

**Assumption:** The number of clusters m and the subspaces dimensionalities  $l_1, l_2, ..., l_m$ , are **known**. Let:

$$\begin{aligned} - U_{N \times m} &= [u_{ij}], \text{ where } u_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & x_i \in C_j \\ 0, & otherwise' \end{cases} \\ - B &= \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m\} \\ - \mu &= \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m\} \\ - Y &= \{Y_1, \dots, Y_m\}, \text{ with } Y_j = \{y_i^j, i = 1, \dots, N\} \text{ be the set of projections of the data points to the j-th subspace. \end{aligned}$$

#### *Iterative CFO methods (hard framework) -* The *k*-subspace algorithm

Consider the cost function

$$J(B, \mu, Y, U) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_{ij} \| \mathbf{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{j} - B_{j} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{j} \|^{2}$$

 $y_i^j$ : **Projection** of  $x_i$  to

the *j*-th subspace

This is **minimized** in a two-stage iterative fashion (recall k-means)

For fixed 
$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{j}'s$$
,  $B_{j}'s$ ,  $\boldsymbol{y}_{i}^{j}'s$ :  
**Define**  $\boldsymbol{u}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \|\boldsymbol{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{j} - B_{j}\boldsymbol{y}_{i}^{j}\|^{2} = \min_{q=1,...,m} \|\boldsymbol{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{q} - B_{q}\boldsymbol{y}_{i}^{q}\|^{2} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

For <u>fixed  $u_{ij}$ </u>'s: Solve the following <u>m</u> independent problems  $min_{\{\mu_j, (B_j, y_i^j)\}} \sum_{x_i: u_{ij}=1} ||x_i - \mu_j - B_j y_i^j||^2 \equiv min_{\{\mu_j, (B_j, y_i^j)\}} \sum_{i=1}^N u_{ij} ||x_i - \mu_j - B_j y_i^j||^2$ For each such problem

- (a) Fix  $\mu'_j s$  and apply PCA, to estimate  $B'_j s$ ,  $y^j_i s$ .
- (b) Fix  $B'_j s$ ,  $y^j_i s$  and apply the k-means rationale, to estimate  $\mu'_j s$ .

#### **Remark:**

There are also subspace clustering methods (e.g., CLIQUE, ENCLUS) that "quantize" the region where the data belongs through the use of a grid. Then, clusters (at different subspaces) are defined through boxes that contain a significant number of data points.

- The data set  $X = \{x_i \in \mathbb{R}^l, i = 1, ..., N\}$ 

- Ensemble of clusterings of X: 
$$\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2, ..., \mathcal{R}_n\}$$
  
where  $\mathcal{R}_i = \{C_i^{\ 1}, C_i^{\ 2}, ..., C_i^{\ m_i}\}$   
 $C_i^{\ j}$ : the *j*-th cluster of the *i*-th clustering  
 $m_i$ : the number of clusters in the *i*-th clustering.  
In general,  $\mathcal{R}_i$ 's are not  
constraint to have the  
same number of clusters  
 $\mathcal{R}_i \leftrightarrow \mathbf{y}_i = [y_i(1), y_i(2), ..., y_i(k), ..., y_i(N)]$   
where  $y_i(k)$  the cluster label of the *k*-th data point.

**Example:** Let  $\mathcal{R}_i = \{C_i^{1}, C_i^{2}, C_i^{3}\} = \{\{x_1, x_2, x_6, x_{10}\}, \{x_3, x_4, x_7\}, \{x_5, x_8, x_9\}\}$ Then  $y_i = [1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 3, 1]$ .

The two main issues in this framework are: (A) The generation of the ensemble of clusterings (B) The combination of the clusterings.

- A. Generation of ensemble of clusterings
- It involves two steps:
- (a) The choice of the subspace to project the data points of *X*.
- (b) The application of a clustering algorithm on the resulting projections.

### General directions:

- All data, all features:
  - <u>All l</u> features and <u>all N</u> data points are used.
  - <u>Either</u> different algorithms are applied
  - <u>or</u> the same algorithm with different parameter values (e.g., in k-means, different number of cluster, or different initial conditions).
- All data, some features:
  - <u>All</u> N data points are used.
  - *n* data sets X<sub>i</sub> are formed from X
  - *Either* by selecting a number of features (feature distributed clustering)
  - <u>or</u> by projecting onto a randomly chosen lower dimensional space.
  - The same or different algorithms can be applied on the  $X_i$ 's.

<u>A. Generation of ensemble of clusterings</u> <u>General directions:</u>

- Some data, all features:
  - <u>All</u> *l* features are **used**.
  - *n* data sets X<sub>i</sub> are formed from X using techniques like bootstrapping and sampling.
  - (Usually) the same algorithm is **applied** on the  $X_i$ 's.
  - The points that have not been selected to participate in  $X_i$  are assigned to their nearest cluster in  $\mathcal{R}_i$ .

### <u>B. Combination of clusterings</u> **Problem:** Given $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2, ..., \mathcal{R}_n\}$ , **determine** the consensus clustering $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, ..., F_m\}$ .

A useful tool in this direction is the co-association matrix C. It is an  $N \times N$  matrix  $C = [c_{ij}]$  with  $c_{ij} = \frac{n_{ij}}{n}$ where  $n_{ij}$  is the number of times where the *i*-th and the *j*-th points of X are **assigned** to the same cluster, among the *n* clusterings of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

- **B.** Combination of clusterings
- Three *main directions* are used:
- Co-association matrix based methods
- Graph-based methods
- Function optimization methods.

#### Co-association matrix based methods

- **Compute** the **co-association matrix**.
- Use it as a similarity matrix and run a hierarchical algorithm (single-link, complete-link etc)
- From the produced dendrogram **determine** the final clustering as the one having the larger lifetime.

**Note:** A large number of clusterings is **required**, in order to estimate more accurately the elements of *C*.

- <u>B. Combination of clusterings</u>
- Graph-based methods
- Instance-based graph formulation (IBGF)
- Cluster-based graph formulation (CBGF)
- Hybric bipartite graph formulation (HBGF)

- <u>B. Combination of clusterings</u>
- Graph-based methods
- Instance-based graph formulation (IBGF)
- Cluster-based graph formulation (CBGF)
- Hybric bipartite graph formulation (HBGF)
  - **Construct** a fully connected graph G = (V, E) where
  - Each vertex of *V* corresponds to a data point and
  - Each edge  $e_{ij}$  of E is weighted by  $c_{ij}$  (the (i, j) element of C).
  - > **Partition** the graph into m disjoint subsets of vertices  $V_1, V_2, ..., V_m$  such that
    - The sum of weights of the edges that connect vertices between any pair of two different subsets is minimized and
    - All  $V_j$ 's have approximately the same size.

**Note:** The normalized-cut and the Ratio-cut criteria can be used for partitioning the graph.

### B. Combination of clusterings

Graph-based methods

• Instance-based graph formulation (IBGF)

**Example:** Consider a data set  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$  and assume that the co-

association matrix is 
$$C = [c_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.9 & 0.07 & 0.05 \\ 0.9 & 1 & 0.03 & 0.02 \\ 0.07 & 0.03 & 1 & 0.9 \\ 0.05 & 0.02 & 0.9 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C \text{ indicates that the physical clusters are } \\ C_1 = \{x_1, x_2\}, \\ C_2 = \{x_3, x_4\}. \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider the **fully connected graph** with four vertices  $v_1(x_1), v_2(x_2)$ ,

 $v_3(x_3), v_4(x_4)$ , with the weight of each edge  $w_{ij}$  being equal to  $c_{ij}$ .

For the possible (equally-sized clusters) two-clusters graph partitions it is:

Partition	Edges connecting diff. clusters (weights)	Total weight of connecting edges
$\{\{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_3, v_4\}\}$	$e_{13}(0.07), e_{14}(0.05), e_{23}(0.03), e_{24}(0.02)$	0.17(*)
$\{\{v_1, v_3\}, \{v_2, v_4\}\}$	$e_{12}(0.9), e_{14}(0.05), e_{32}(0.02), e_{34}(0.9)$	1.87
$\{\{v_1, v_4\}, \{v_2, v_3\}\}$	$e_{12}(0.9), e_{13}(0.07), e_{42}(0.02), e_{43}(0.9)$	1.87

The partition with the smallest total weight of connecting edges corresponds to the physical clustering of the data set.

- <u>B. Combination of clusterings</u> <u>Function optimization methods</u>
- Utility function optimization
- Normalized mutual information
- Mixture model formulation

Here, the final clustering (also called median clustering)  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m\}$ , results from the optimization of an appropriate cost function.

- <u>B. Combination of clusterings</u>
- Function optimization methods
- Utility function optimization (probabilistic arguments)
- Normalized mutual information function optimization (information theory ingredients)
- Mixture model formulation

A function  $U(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{R}_i)$  is adopted, **measuring** the **quality** of a candidate median  $\mathcal{F}'$  against some other clustering  $\mathcal{R}_i$ .

The overall utility of 
$$\mathcal{F}'$$
 on  $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2, \dots, \mathcal{R}_n\}$  is defined as  
$$U(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}) = \sum_{i=1}^n U(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{R}_i)$$

The final (median) clustering  $\mathcal{F}$  results as

 $\mathcal{F} = argmax_{\mathcal{F}'} U(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E})$ 

- B. Combination of clusterings
- Function optimization methods
- Mixture model formulation
- **Represent** the data points as follows

$\boldsymbol{x}_1$	$\rightarrow$	[	$y_1(1)$	•••	$y_n(1)$	]	≡	<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> ′
<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	$\rightarrow$	[	$y_1(2)$	•••	$y_n(2)$	]	≡	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub> ′
÷	$\rightarrow$			:				:
$\boldsymbol{x}_N$	$\rightarrow$	[	$y_1(N)$	•••	$y_n(N)$	]	≡	$x_N'$

**Note:** The representations  $x_i'$  are discrete-valued.

- **Define** the probability function  $P(x'; \Theta)$  as the (weighted) summation of m (*n*-dimensional) probability functions, <u>each one corresponding to a cluster</u>.
- Assuming independence among the components of x', each n-dimensional probability function is written as the product of n one-dimensional prob.
   functions, each one modeled by a multinomial distribution.
- The **estimation** of the respective **parameters** is carried out via the utilization of the EM algorithm.

### **Multinomial distribution**

•Multinomial distribution Mult(x|n, P)

Discrete RV distribution

$$\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{K}]^{T'} \mathbf{P} = [p_{1}, \dots, p_{K}]^{T}:$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{K} p_{i} = 1$$
$$\mathbf{0} < p_{i} < 1, i = 1, \dots, K,$$



•Sample space:  $X = \{0, 1, ..., K\}$ 

Outcome of the experiment: non-binary. No. of repetitions: n
x<sub>i</sub>: number of times the *i*-th outcome occurs in the n repetitions
It is

$$\succ P(\mathbf{x}) = \binom{n}{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K} \prod_{i=1}^K P_i^{x_i}$$

s.t. 
$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_K = n$$
  
 $E[x] = nP$   
 $\sigma_i^2 = nP_i(1 - P_i), i = 1, \dots, K.$   
 $\cos(x_i, x_j) = -nP_i P_j, i \neq j.$