

The spectrum of a Toeplitz operator with continuous symbol

Στο αρχείο (Ind26) δείξαμε ότι ο δείκτης στροφής επεκτείνεται σε όλες τις συνεχείς κλειστές καμπύλες $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Αν $\phi \in C(\mathbb{T})$, γράφουμε $n(\phi; \lambda)$ για τον δείκτη στροφής της $\gamma_\phi(t) := \phi(e^{2\pi i t})$, $t \in [0, 1]$.

Θεώρημα 1. Αν $\phi \in C(\mathbb{T})$, τότε

$$\sigma(T_\phi) = \text{ran}(\phi) \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{ran}(\phi) : n(\phi; \lambda) \neq 0\}.$$

Απόδειξη. Observe that since $\phi \in C(\mathbb{T})$ we have $\text{ran}(\phi) = \text{essran}(\phi)$.

Hence by spectral inclusion,

$$\text{ran}(\phi) = \sigma(M_\phi) \subseteq \sigma(T_\phi).$$

Thus we have to show that if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{ran}(\phi)$, then

$$\lambda \notin \sigma(T_\phi) \iff n(\phi; \lambda) = 0$$

$$\text{Equivalently: } T_{\phi-\lambda} \text{ invertible} \iff n(\phi - \lambda; 0) = 0.$$

Replacing ϕ with $\phi - \lambda$ we have to show that if $0 \notin \text{ran}(\phi)$ (i.e. if ϕ vanishes nowhere in $\mathbb{T}$), then

$$T_\phi \text{ invertible} \iff n(\phi; 0) = 0.$$

Since $\phi(\mathbb{T})$ is compact and $0 \notin \phi(\mathbb{T})$, the quantity $\delta := \min\{|\phi(w)| : w \in \mathbb{T}\}$ is strictly positive.

Choose a trigonometric polynomial $p \in C(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$\|\phi - p\| < \frac{\delta}{3}$$

and note that p never vanishes on $\mathbb{T}$ ($|p(w)| > |\phi(w)| - \frac{\delta}{3} \geq \frac{2\delta}{3}$); hence $n(p; 0)$ is defined. Also the function

$$\psi := \frac{\phi - p}{p} \quad \text{satisfies} \quad \|\psi\| < \frac{\delta/3}{2\delta/3} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

It follows that $u = \log(1 + \psi)$ is a well defined continuous function on $\mathbb{T}$ and

$$1 + \psi = e^u.$$

(Indeed the series $\log(1 + \psi) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}(-\psi)^n$, converges because $\|1 - (1 + \psi)\| < 1$.)

¹Λεπτομερείες στο αρχείο (Ind26)

Therefore (from (Ind26))

$$n(1 + \psi; 0) = 0.$$

Now there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f_n p := q$ is an analytic polynomial. Extending q to a polynomial on $\mathbb{C}$ and factorising, we may write

$$q(z) = cz^m \prod_{j=1}^k (z - z_j) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (z - w_j), \quad 0 < |z_j| < 1 < |w_j|$$

for some nonzero $c \in \mathbb{C}$, where $\{z_j\}$ are the non-zero roots of q in $\mathbb{D}$ and $\{w_j\}$ are the roots of q outside $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ (observe that q has no roots in $\mathbb{T}$ because p never vanishes on $\mathbb{T}$!). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(e^{it}) &= e^{-int} q(e^{it}) = ce^{i(m-n)t} \prod_{j=1}^k (e^{it} - z_j) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (e^{it} - w_j) \\ \text{so } p &= cf_{(m-n)} \prod_{j=1}^k (f_1 - z_j) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (f_1 - w_j) \\ &= cf_{(m-n+k)} \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - z_j \bar{f}_1) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (f_1 - w_j) \\ &= c\bar{u}vf_{(m-n+k)} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u := \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - \bar{z}_j f_1) \quad \text{and} \quad v := \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (f_1 - w_j).$$

Note that v and u are both in $\widetilde{H}^\infty$.

From a calculation in (Ind26) we know that

$$n(p; 0) = m - n + k.$$

Now

$$\phi = p(1 + \psi) = c\bar{u}(1 + \psi)vf_{(m-n+k)}$$

and so

$$n(\phi; 0) = n(p; 0) + n(1 + \psi; 0) = n(p; 0) = m - n + k.$$

Thus we have to show that T_ϕ is invertible iff $m - n + k = 0$.

Letting $w := c\bar{u}(1 + \psi)v$ we have

$$T_\phi = T_{wf_{(m-n+k)}} = T_{f_{(m-n+k)}w}.$$

But we claim that the operator T_w is invertible. Indeed, since $w = (c\bar{u}(1 + \psi))v$ and v is in $\widetilde{H}^\infty$ we have $T_w = cT_{\bar{u}(1+\psi)}T_v$; and since u is in $\widetilde{H}^\infty$ we have $T_{\bar{u}(1+\psi)} = T_{\bar{u}}T_{(1+\psi)}$. Thus

$$T_w = T_{\bar{u}}T_{(1+\psi)}T_v = T_u^*T_{(1+\psi)}T_v.$$

Since u (extended to $\mathbb{C}$) vanishes nowhere in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, the Toeplitz operator T_u is invertible² and so $T_{\bar{u}} = T_u^*$ is invertible. By the same argument T_v is invertible. Also $\|T_{1+\psi} - I\| = \|T_\psi\| = \|\psi\| < 1$ and so $T_{1+\psi}$ is an invertible operator (as is well known). Thus the product T_w is invertible.

Now, we consider two cases:

- If $m - n + k \geq 0$, then the function $f_{(m-n+k)}$ is in $\widetilde{H}^\infty$ and hence (as we have shown) the Toeplitz operator $T_\phi = T_{wf_{(m-n+k)}}$ is the product $T_w T_{f_{(m-n+k)}}$.

- If $m - n + k \leq 0$, the function $\bar{f}_{(m-n+k)}$ is in $\widetilde{H}^\infty$, hence by the same result $T_\phi = T_{f_{(m-n+k)}w}$ is the product $T_{f_{(m-n+k)}} T_w$.

Thus it follows that in both cases T_ϕ is invertible iff $T_{f_{(m-n+k)}}$ is invertible, which happens iff $f_{(m-n+k)}$ is constant, i.e. iff $m - n + k = 0$, οπως δε λαμβε.

□

² u^{-1} is defined and holomorphic near $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, so $T_u T_{u^{-1}} = T_{uu^{-1}} = I = T_{u^{-1}} T_u$