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# Solution of the Littlewood-Offord problem in high dimensions 

By P. Frankl and Z. Füredi


#### Abstract

Consider the $2^{n}$ partial sums of arbitrary $n$ vectors of length at least one in $d$-dimensional Euclidean space. It is shown that as $n$ goes to infinity no closed ball of diameter $\Delta$ contains more than $(\lfloor\Delta\rfloor+1+o(1))\binom{n}{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$ out of these sums and this is best possible. For $\Delta-\lfloor\Delta\rfloor$ small an exact formula is given.


## 1. Introduction

Investigating the number of zeros of random polynomials, Littlewood and Offord [14] were led to the following problem. Let $d \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{R}^{d}$ be $d$-dimensional Euclidean space. Further let $V=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ be a set of $n$ non-necessarily distinct vectors in $\mathbf{R}^{d} ;\left|v_{i}\right|$, the length of $v_{i}$, is supposed to be at least one, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Consider $\sum V$, the collection of all $2^{n}$ partial sums

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} v_{i} \text { with } \varepsilon_{i}=0 \text { or } 1
$$

For a positive real $\Delta$, let

$$
m(V, \Delta)=\max \{|S \cap \Sigma V|: S \text { is a closed ball of diameter } \Delta\}
$$

Now, the famous Littlewood-Offord problem is to determine or estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m(n, \Delta)=m_{d}(n, \Delta)=\max \left\{m(V, \Delta): V \subset \mathbf{R}^{d}\right. \text { is a set of } \\
&n \text { vectors of length at least one }\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In 1945 Erdös [1] determined $m_{d}(n, \Delta)$ for $d=1$ and arbitrary $\Delta$. Set $s=\lfloor\Delta\rfloor+1$.

Theorem 1.1 (Erdös). $m_{1}(n, \Delta)$ is the sum of the largest $s$ binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{i}$ with $0 \leq i \leq n$.

We will outline his proof in Section 4. To see the lower bound part, one can take $v_{1}=v_{2}=\cdots=v_{n}=1$. Note that for fixed $\Delta$ and $n \rightarrow \infty, m_{1}(n, \Delta)=$ $(\lfloor\Delta\rfloor+1+o(1))\binom{n}{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$.

There has been a lot of research related to this problem for $d \geq 2$. In particular, Katona [7] and Kleitman [9] showed that $m_{2}(n, \Delta)=\binom{n}{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}$ holds for $\Delta<1$. This was extended by Kleitman [10] to arbitrary $d \geq 2$.

Their proofs led to the creation of a new area in extremal set theory, to the so-called M-part Sperner theorems; see e.g., Füredi [2], Griggs, Odlyzko and Shearer [5].

These results were used to give upper bounds on $m_{d}(n, \Delta)$. To mention a few, Kleitman [12] showed that $m_{2}(n, \Delta)$ is upper-bounded by the sum of the $2[\Delta / \sqrt{2}]$ largest binomial coefficients in $n$.

Griggs [3] proved

$$
m_{d}(n, \Delta) \leq 2^{2^{d-1}-2}\lceil\Delta \sqrt{d}\rceil\binom{ n}{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}
$$

Sali [16], [17] improved this bound to

$$
\left.m_{d}(n, \Delta) \leq 2^{d} \mid \Delta \sqrt{d}\right\rceil\binom{ n}{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}
$$

Let us mention also that Griggs et al. [4] proved that for $\Delta>n / \sqrt{d}$ and for $n>n_{0}(d)$ one has $m_{d}(n, \Delta)=2^{n}$. This shows that for large $d$ and $\Delta$, $m_{d}(n, \Delta) / m_{1}(n, \Delta)$ can be arbitrarily large. Here we prove:

Theorem 1.2. For fixed $d$ and $\Delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{d}(n, \Delta)=(\lfloor\Delta\rfloor+1+o(1))\binom{n}{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $n \rightarrow \infty$.
One might think that Theorem 1.1 holds for arbitrary $d, \Delta$ and $n>n_{0}(d, \Delta)$. However, this is not true for $d \geq 2$ and $(s-1)^{2}+1<\Delta^{2}<s^{2}, s \geq 2$, arbitrary.

Example 1.3 ([13]). Let $v_{1}=v_{2}=\cdots=v_{n-1}$ be unit vectors and $v_{n}$ a unit vector orthogonal to $v_{1}$. Take the sphere $S$ of diameter $\Delta$ centered at $\left(v_{1}+\cdots+v_{n}\right) / 2$. Suppose that $n+s$ is even. Then

$$
|\Sigma V \cap S|=2 \sum_{n-s / 2 \leq i \leq n+s / 2}\binom{n-1}{i}>m_{1}(n, \Delta)
$$

Our second result says that if $\Delta-\lfloor\Delta\rfloor$ is very small then the bound of Theorem 1.1 is valid.

Theorem 1.4. Suppose that $s-1 \leq \Delta \leq s-1+1 / 10 s^{2}$; then

$$
m_{d}(n, \Delta)=m_{1}(n, \Delta) \text { holds for } n>n_{0}(d, \Delta)
$$

We need some geometric preliminaries as well. By a cone $C$ we mean always a circular closed double cone with vertex at the origin. Thus if the axis of the cone is a line $L$ and the angle of the cone is $\alpha$ then $C$ consists of the points of those lines through the origin which have angle at most $\alpha / 2$ with $L$. A cone is the union of two halfcones.

Let $S_{0}$ denote the unit sphere centered at the origin. Then $S_{0} \cap C$ is a spherical (double) cap of angle $\alpha$. Let $\zeta(d, \alpha)$ denote the minimum number of double caps of angle $\alpha$ needed to cover $S_{0}$. Let us recall the following upper bound on $\zeta(d, \alpha)$ from [15]: If $\alpha<\pi / 2$ then

$$
\zeta(d, \alpha)<d^{2}\left(\sin \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{-d+1}
$$

For two disjoint cones $C, D$ (that is, $C \cap D$ consists of the origin only), considering their intersection with the plane $P$ determined by the two axes, we can define (see Figure 1, next page) the angles $\alpha, \beta$ as the angles of the two open cones whose union is $P-(C \cup D)$. Call $\min \{\alpha, \beta\}$ the angle between $C$ and $D$. Note that if $C$ has angle $\gamma$ and $D$ has angle $\delta$, then $\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta=\pi$ holds.

## 2. The main lemmas

By vectors we shall always mean vectors of length at least one in $\mathbf{R}^{d}$. For a set $V$ of vectors let $\Sigma V$ denote the set of all $2^{|V|}$ sums $\sum_{v \in V} \varepsilon(v) v$ with $\varepsilon(v)=$ 0 or 1. Recall that

$$
m(V, \Delta)=\max _{\substack{S \text { a ball of } \\ \text { diameter } \Delta}}|S \cap \Sigma V| .
$$

Of course $m(V, \Delta)=m(V-\{u\} \cup\{-u\}, \Delta)$ for any $u \in V$; i.e., we can reverse a vector. Sometimes the Littlewood-Offord problem is reformulated in the following way:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
m(V, \Delta)=\max \left\{\mid S \cap\left\{\sum \varepsilon(v) v: \text { where } \varepsilon(v)= \pm 1, v \in V\right\} \mid:\right. \\
\left.S \subset \mathbf{R}^{d} \text { a ball of radius } \Delta\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Because of Kleitman's theorem we will suppose that $\Delta \geq 1$ (i.e., $s \geq 2$ ), $d \geq 2$.

Define also

$$
p(V, \Delta)=m(V, \Delta) / 2^{|V|} .
$$

Our first proposition says that $p(V, \Delta)$ is monotone decreasing.
Proposition 2.0. Let $W \subset V$ be sets of vectors. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(V, \Delta) \leq p(W, \Delta) \tag{2.0}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $\Delta>0$.

Proof. Let $S$ be an arbitrary ball of diameter $\Delta$. Then

$$
|S \cap \Sigma V| \leq \sum_{u \in \Sigma(V-W)}|S \cap(u+\Sigma W)| \leq 2^{|V-W|} m(W, \Delta)
$$

yielding

$$
m(V, \Delta) \leq 2^{|V-W|} m(W, \Delta)
$$

Dividing both sides by $2^{|V|}$, we see that (2.0) follows.
Lemma 2.1. Let $C, D$ be disjoint cones in $\mathbf{R}^{d}$ with respective angles $\gamma, \delta$. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be the two angles between the cones (see Figure 1). Let $h$ be a positive integer, $\Delta>0$, real such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \min \left\{\sin \frac{\alpha}{2}, \sin \frac{\beta}{2}\right\}>\Delta . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose further that $|C \cap V|=c,|D \cap V|=d$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(V, \Delta) \leq h^{2} / \sqrt{c d} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1

Proof. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{c}$ and $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{d}$ be the vectors from $V$, contained in $C$ and $D$, respectively. When we apply Proposition 2.0 with $W=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.v_{c}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{d}\right\} \subset V$, it follows that it is sufficient to prove (2.2) for $W$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that all vectors are in the same halfcone as shown in Figure 1. Let $S$ be an arbitrary sphere of diameter $\Delta$. We denote $\{1,2, \ldots, i\}$ by $[i]$, and the set of all permutations of $[i]$ by $S_{[i]}$. Let us define the family $\mathscr{F}$ by:

$$
\mathscr{F}=\left\{(A, B): A \subset[c], B \subset[d], \sum_{i \in A} v_{i}+\sum_{j \in B} w_{j} \in S\right\} .
$$

Let $(\pi, \zeta)$ be a random element of $S_{[c]}+S_{[d]}$. Consider the rectangle $R$, defined by

$$
R=\{(\pi([i]), \zeta([j])): 1 \leq i \leq c, 1 \leq j \leq d\} .
$$

Claim 2.2. $|R \cap \mathscr{F}| \leq h^{2}$.
Proof. Define $I=\{i: \exists j,(\pi([i]), \zeta([j])) \in R \cap \mathscr{F}\}$; that is, $I$ is the "projection" on the side of the points in that rectangle. The set $J$ is defined analogously, with the roles of $i$ and $j$ interchanged. If we prove $|I| \leq h$, $|J| \leq h$, then the claim follows. Suppose the contrary and let, e.g., $|I| \geq h+1$. Then we can choose $i_{1}, i_{2} \in I$ with $i_{1}-i_{2} \geq h$. Choose $j_{1}, j_{2} \in J$ such that

$$
\left(\pi\left(\left[i_{t}\right]\right), \zeta\left(\left[j_{t}\right]\right)\right) \in R \cap \mathscr{F}, t=1,2
$$

Let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be the corresponding sum of vectors. Suppose first that $j_{1} \geq j_{2}$ and let $L$ be a perpendicular line to the bisector of the angle $\beta$. Then both the vectors $v_{i}$ and $w_{j}$ have projection of length at least $\sin (\beta / 2)$ on $L$.

Consequently,

$$
u_{1}-u_{2}=\sum_{i_{2}<i \leq i_{1}} v_{\pi(i)}+\sum_{j_{2}<j \leq j_{1}} w_{\zeta(j)}
$$

has projection of length at least

$$
\left(\left(i_{1}-i_{2}\right)+\left(j_{1}-j_{2}\right)\right) \sin (\beta / 2) \geq h \sin (\beta / 2)>\Delta,
$$

in contradiction with $u_{1}, u_{2} \in S$.
If $j_{2}>j_{1}$ then we argue in the same way except for the perpendicular to the bisector of the angle $\alpha$.

To conclude the proof of Lemma 2.1 we show that there is a choice of $\pi \in \mathrm{S}_{[c]}, \zeta \in \mathrm{S}_{[d]}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
|R \cap \mathscr{F}|>|\mathscr{F}| \sqrt{c d} 2^{-c-d} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $(A, B) \in \mathscr{F}$ be arbitrary, $|A|=a,|B|=b$. Then the probability $p(A, B)$ of $(A, B) \in R$ satisfies

$$
p(A, B)=1 /\left(\binom{c}{a}\binom{d}{b}\right) \geq\left(\left\lfloor\begin{array}{c}
c \\
\left.\frac{c}{2}\right\rfloor
\end{array}\right)\right)^{-1}\left(\left\lfloor\begin{array}{c}
d \\
\frac{d}{2}
\end{array}\right\rfloor\right)^{-1}>\frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{c d} 2^{-c-d}>\sqrt{c d} 2^{-c-d}
$$

Thus, the expected size $E(|R \cap \mathscr{F}|)$ of $R \cap \mathscr{F}$ satisfies

$$
E(|R \cap \mathscr{F}|)=\sum_{(A, B) \in \mathscr{F}} p(A, B)>|\mathscr{F}| \sqrt{c d} 2^{-c-d}
$$

proving (2.3).
Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $W \subset C$ is a set of vectors, $C$ is a cone with angle $\gamma$ and $\Delta, \Delta^{\prime}$ are positive reals with $\Delta^{\prime} \cos (\gamma / 2)>\Delta$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(W, \Delta) \leq m_{1}\left(|W|, \Delta^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose without loss of generality that the axis of $C$ is the real line. Set $|W|=r$ and let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ be the projections of the vectors $w \in W$ on the axis. Set $y_{i}=x_{i} / \cos (\gamma / 2)$. Then $\left|y_{i}\right| \geq 1$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. By definition

$$
m_{1}\left(\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right\}, \Delta\right)=m_{1}\left(\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right\}, \Delta^{\prime}\right) \leq m_{1}\left(r, \Delta^{\prime}\right)
$$

holds. On the other hand,

$$
m_{d}(W, \Delta) \leq m_{1}\left(\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right\}, \Delta\right)
$$

is obvious, proving (2.4).
For our final lemma we need to prove first a geometric proposition. For vectors $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}$ and $w$ define

$$
A\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r} ; w\right)=\left\{v_{1}+\cdots+v_{i}+\varepsilon w: 0 \leq i \leq r, \varepsilon=0,1\right\} .
$$

Proposition 2.4. Let $\beta$ and $\alpha$ be positive reals, $\beta>\alpha, \alpha \leq \pi / 3$, and $s \geq 2$ a positive integer satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
s-1 \leq \Delta<(s-1) \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{\sin ^{2} \frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}}{4(s-1) \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r}$ be vectors of at least unit length in a halfcone $C$ with angle $\alpha$ and let $w,|w| \geq 1$ be a vector having angle at least $\beta / 2$ and at most $\pi-\beta / 2$ with the axis. Then for every ball S of diameter $\Delta$,

$$
\left|S \cap A\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r} ; w\right)\right| \leq 2 s-1 .
$$

Proof. Denote by $A(i)$ the sum $v_{i}+v_{2}+\cdots+v_{i}(A(0)=0)$, and let $B(j)=A(j)+w$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq r$. We may suppose that $\beta \leq \pi / 2$. Let $S$ be a ball with diameter $\Delta$ and suppose on the contrary that it contains at least $2 s$ vectors from $A\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r} ; w\right)$. Let $I=\{i: A(i) \in S\}$ and $J=\{j: B(j) \in S\}$. Consider a line $c$ through the center of $S$ and parallel to the axis of $C$. Consider the projections $A^{\prime}(i)$ and $B^{\prime}(j)$ of the points $A(i)$ and $B(j)$ on the line $c$. Now

$$
\left|A^{\prime}(i) A^{\prime}\left(i^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq\left|i-i^{\prime}\right| \cdot \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}
$$

holds. As the right-hand side of (2.5) is smaller than $s \cos (\alpha / 2)$ we have that $|I|$ (and $|J|$ ) is at most $s$. So if $S$ contains $2 s$ vectors from $A\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}, w\right)$ then there exist $k$ and $l$ such that $A(i) \in S, B(j) \in S$ for $k \leq i \leq k+s-1$, $l \leq j \leq l+s-1$. Consider a plane $P$ orthogonal to $c$ which cuts a piece from $S$ with width $\Delta-(s-1) \cos (\alpha / 2)$. Denote this piece by $H$. Then $A(k), B(l) \in H$.

The diameter of $H$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sqrt{\left((s-1) \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\left(\Delta-(s-1) \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \leq \sin \frac{\beta-\alpha}{2} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $|A(k) B(l)|<1$, implying $l \neq k$. Suppose, say, $l<k$ and consider the $A(l) B(l) A(k)$ triangle. We have $|A(l) B(l)| \geq 1,|A(l) A(k)| \geq 1$, and the angle at $A(l)$ is at least $(\beta-\alpha) / 2$. Hence the length of the side $A(k) B(l)$ is at least $2 \sin ((\beta-\alpha) / 4)$, which contradicts (2.6). So $S$ cannot contain $2 s$ elements from $A\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r} ; w\right)$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\alpha, \beta$, s and $\Delta$ be as in Proposition 2.4. Let $W$ be a set of vectors contained in a cone $C$ of angle $\alpha$ and let $w$ be a vector having angle at least $\beta / 2$ with the axis of the cone. Set $r=|W|$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(W \cup\{w\}, \Delta) \leq(2 s-1)\binom{r}{\lfloor r / 2\rfloor} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We can reverse the directions of the vectors; so we can suppose that $W$ is contained in a halfcone of $C$ and the angle of $W$, and the axis of $C$ is at most $\pi / 2$. Let $S$ be a fixed sphere of diameter $\Delta$. Let us consider a random ordering $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r}$ of the elements of $W$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, there exists an ordering with

$$
\left|S \cap A\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r} ; w\right)\right| \geq|S \cap \Sigma(W \cup\{w\})| /\binom{r}{\lfloor r / 2\rfloor} .
$$

On the other hand, Proposition 2.4 implies

$$
\left|S \cap A\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r} ; w\right)\right| \leq 2 s-1, \text { which proves }(2.7)
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4

Set $s=\lfloor\Delta\rfloor+1$ and choose $0<\alpha<\pi / 2$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}>\Delta \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the definition of $\zeta(d, \alpha)$ from the introduction and set $t=\zeta(d, \alpha / 5)$. Let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{t}$ be cones with angle $\alpha / 5$ which cover $\mathbf{R}^{d}$. Suppose by symmetry that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V \cap C_{1}\right| \geq|V| / t \text { holds } \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the cone $C$ (of angle $\alpha$ ) which has the same axis as $C_{1}$. Define $k=2 t^{2}([(\Delta+1) / \sin (\alpha / 10)])^{4} / \Delta$.

If $|C \cap V| \geq n-k$, then Proposition 2.0 and Lemma 2.3 imply

$$
m(V, \Delta) \leq 2^{k} s\left(\begin{array}{c}
n-k \\
\left\lfloor\frac{n-k}{2}\right\rfloor \\
\rfloor
\end{array}\right)=(1+o(1)) s\left(\left\lfloor\begin{array}{c}
n \\
\frac{n}{2} \\
\hline
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

as desired.
Suppose next $|V-C|>k$. Note that if a vector $v \in V-C$ is contained in $C_{i}, 2 \leq i \leq t$, then $C_{1}$ and $C_{i}$ are disjoint and the angle between them is at least $0.3 \alpha$. Suppose by symmetry, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(V-C) \cap C_{2}\right| \geq k / t \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ with $h=\lceil(\Delta+1) / \sin (\alpha / 10)\rceil$ and using (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(V, \Delta)<h^{2} t / \sqrt{n k}<s / \sqrt{\pi n / 2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for our choice of $h$ and $k$, which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2.
In the case of Theorem 1.4 we first note that (3.4) implies for $n>n_{0}(d, \Delta)$ that $m(V, \Delta)<m_{1}(n, \Delta)$, as desired. Choose $\alpha$ positive but very small (e.g., $\left.\sin (\alpha / 2)=1 / 2 s^{2}\right)$. Then we may assume that

$$
|V-C| \leq k
$$

Let $\beta$ be a small angle satisfying $\cos (\beta / 2)=1-(1 / 2 s)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \cos \frac{\beta}{2}>\Delta \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D$ be the cone with angle $\beta$ and the same center as $C$. If $V \subset D$, then Lemma 2.3 concludes the proof. Thus we may suppose that there is a vector $w \in(V-D)$.

Setting $W=V \cap C$, using $s-1 \leq \Delta<s-1+1 / 10 s^{2}$, we see that Proposition 2.0 and Lemma 2.5 imply

$$
p(V, \Delta) \leq p(W \cup\{w\}, \Delta) \leq \frac{2 s-1+o(1)}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
n \\
\frac{n}{2} \\
\hline
\end{array}\right) / 2^{n}<m_{1}(n, \Delta)
$$

which concludes the proof.

## 4. The case when the diameter is an integer

We call a family of vectors optimal if $m_{d}(n, \Delta)=m(V, \Delta)$. In the case of $s-1<\Delta<s-1+\left(1 / 10 s^{2}\right)$ we obviously have infinitely many optimal families, because we can perturb slightly the set of vectors $V=\{n$ copies of the same vector of length $\Delta /(s-1)\}$.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose $\Delta$ is an integer, $n>n_{0}(d, \Delta)$. Then the only optimal family $V$ consists of $n$ copies of a unit vector.

For the proof of 4.1 we need the following theorem of Erdös. He noticed the connection of the Littlewood-Offord problem to extremal set theory.

Definitions. $2^{X}$ denotes the power set of $X ; \mathscr{F}\left(\subset 2^{X}\right)$ denotes a family of sets and is called a $k$-Sperner family if it does not contain $k+1$ members $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k+1} \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $F_{1} \subsetneq F_{2} \varsubsetneqq \cdots \subsetneq F_{k+1}$.

Theorem 4.2 (Erdös [1] and Sperner [18] for $k=1$ ). Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a $k$-Sperner family over an $n$ element set $X$. Then

$$
|\mathscr{F}| \leq \text { sum of the largest } k \text { binomial coefficients }\binom{n}{i} .
$$

Here equality holds if and only if $\mathscr{F}$ consists of all the subsets of $X$ of sizes $\lfloor(n-k+1) / 2\rfloor, \ldots,\lfloor(n-k+1) / 2\rfloor+(k-1)$ or $[(n-k+1) / 2\rceil, \ldots$, $\lceil(n-k+1) / 2\rceil+(k-1)$ (i.e., for $n-k$ odd there exists only one optimal family; in case $n-k$ is even there are two optimal families).

With a set of vectors $V$ and a ball $S$ we associate a family $\mathscr{F}=\mathscr{F}(V, S)=$ $\left\{I \subset\{1,2, \ldots, n\}: \sum_{i \in I} v_{i} \in S\right\}$. A consequence of 4.2 and the proof of 1.4 is the following.

Lemma 4.3. Suppose that $n>n_{0}(d, \Delta), V$ is an optimal family of vectors, $\Delta$ is an integer, $S$ is a ball of diameter $\Delta$ with $|S \cap \Sigma V|=m_{1}(d, \Delta)$. Then there are a direction $w$ and a small $\beta>0$ (e.g., $\left.\cos ^{2}(\beta / 2)=1-(1 / 2 s)\right)$ such that every $v \in V$ is contained in a cone of angle $\alpha$ and axis $w$. If all $v \in V$ are contained in a halfcone of that cone then for every sequence of vectors $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}=V$,

$$
v_{1}+\cdots+v_{j} \in S \text { for } n_{1} \leq j \leq n_{1}+\Delta
$$

where $n_{1}=n_{1}(S)=\lfloor(n-\Delta) / 2\rfloor$ or $[(n-\Delta) / 2]$.
We need one more proposition.
Proposition 4.4. Let $w, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$ be vectors $0.4 n<n_{1} \leq n / 2$, and suppose that $\left|\sum_{i \in I} u_{i}-n_{1} w\right| \leq r$ for every $I \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|I|=n_{1}$. Then

$$
\sum\left|u_{i}-w\right|^{2} \leq 5 r^{2} .
$$

Proof. Define $w_{i}=u_{i}-w$. We have $\left|\sum_{i \in I} w_{i}\right| \leq r$ for every $I \subset[n]$, $|I|=n_{1}$, and we have to prove that $\sum w_{i}^{2} \leq 5 r^{2}$. The standard calculation is the
following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{n}{n_{1}} r^{2} \geq \sum_{I}\left(\sum_{i \in I} w_{i}\right)^{2} & =\binom{n-2}{n_{1}-2}\left(\sum w_{i}\right)^{2}+\binom{n-2}{n_{1}-1}\left(\sum w_{i}^{2}\right) \\
& \geq\binom{ n-2}{n_{1}-1}\left(\sum w_{i}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of 4.1. Suppose that $n>20 \Delta^{3}$. Lemma 4.3 implies that $\left|\sum_{i \in I} v_{i}\right| \leq \Delta$ holds for every $I \subset\{1, \ldots, n\},|I|=\Delta$. Suppose that $I \subset\{1, \ldots, n\},|I|=\Delta$ such that for $u=\sum\left\{v_{i}: i \in I\right\},|u|=\Delta-x$ is maximal. Then all the sums of $n_{1}$ vectors from $\left\{v_{i}: i \notin I\right\}$ are in $S \cap(S-u)$ which is contained in a sphere of radius $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} x \Delta-\frac{1}{4} x^{2}}$. Let $0_{1}$ be the center of $S \cap(S-u)$, and $n_{1} w_{1}=\overrightarrow{00}_{1}$. Then 4.4 gives

$$
\sum_{i \notin I}\left|v_{i}-w_{1}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{5}{2} x \Delta .
$$

Then one can choose $J \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}-I,|J|=\Delta$ in such a way that

$$
\sum_{j \in J}\left|v_{j}-w_{1}\right|^{2}<\frac{5}{2} x \Delta(\Delta / n-\Delta)<(x / 4 \Delta) .
$$

Then all the $v_{j}(j \in J)$ have components to direction $w_{1}$ with length at least $1-x / 4 \Delta$. Hence $\left|\sum v_{j}\right| \geq \Delta-x / 2$, a contradiction if $x \neq 0$. If $x=0$, then it easily follows that all the vectors are the same unit vector.

## 5. Concluding remarks

Let us mention that the proof of Theorem 1.2 actually gives $m_{d}(n, \Delta) \leq$ $m_{1}(n, \Delta)(1+(c(d, \Delta) / n))$ where $c(d, \Delta)$ is a constant depending only on $d$ and $\Delta$.

Next we describe a construction showing that for $\lceil\Delta\rceil-\Delta$ small and $d$ large there exists a positive constant $c^{\prime}(d, \Delta)$ such that $m_{d}(n, \Delta) \geq$ $m_{1}(n, \Delta)\left(1+\left(c^{\prime}(d, \Delta) / n\right)\right)$ holds.

Moreover, $c^{\prime}(d, \Delta) \rightarrow \infty$ if $d \rightarrow \infty, \Delta \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lceil\Delta\rceil-\Delta \rightarrow 0$.
Example 5.1. Let $n, k, s$ be positive integers and suppose for convenience that $n+s-k$ is even. Let $v_{1}=v_{2}=\cdots=v_{n-k}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ be unit vectors where $v_{1}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$ are pairwise orthogonal. Consider the sphere, $S$ of diameter $\left(k+s^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ centered around $\left((n-k) v_{1}+w_{1} \cdots+w_{k}\right) / 2$. Then $S$ contains all partial sums from $\sum\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-k}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\}\right)$ involving at least
$(n-k-s) / 2$ and at $\operatorname{most}(n-k+s) / 2$ out of $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-k}$. That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{k+1}\left(n,\left(k+s^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) & \geq 2^{k} \sum_{(n-k-s / 2) \leq i \leq(n-k+s / 2)}\binom{n-k}{i} \\
& =\left(1+\frac{k+o(1)}{2 n}\right) m_{1}\left(n,\left(k+s^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for $k+s^{2}<(s+1)^{2}$, i.e., $k \leq 2 s$.
A sharpened version of Proposition 2.4 (we did not use that the points $A(l), A(k), A(k+s-1)$ lie almost on a line) gives that Theorem 1.3 holds for a slightly larger interval, especially for $s=2$ if $1 \leq \Delta<\sqrt{2}$, and for $s=3$ if $2 \leq \Delta<\sqrt{5}$. So we can construct a new proof for some theorems of Katona [8] and Kleitman [11], [13]. But the length of our interval is only $O\left(1 / s^{2}\right)$. Now we have the following:

Conjecture 5.2. For $n>n_{0}(d, \Delta)$, if $s-1 \leq \Delta<\sqrt{(s-1)^{2}+1}$, then $m_{d}(n, \Delta)=m_{1}(n, \Delta)$.

Let us consider now open spheres. Let $f_{d}(n, \Delta)=\max \left\{|S \cap \Sigma V|: S \subset \mathbf{R}^{d}\right.$ is an open sphere of diameter $\Delta$ and $V$ is a set of $n$ vectors of length at least one $\}$.

Corollary 5.3. For fixed $d$ and $\Delta$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$, if $\Delta$ is not an integer then

$$
f_{d}(n, \Delta)=(\lfloor\Delta\rfloor+1+o(1))\binom{n}{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} .
$$

Similarly, Theorem 1.3 gives the value of $f_{d}(n, \Delta)$ for $n>n_{0}(d, \Delta), s-1<$ $\Delta<s-1+1 / 10 s^{2}$.

Problem 5.4. Determine (if it exists) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{d}(n, \Delta)\binom{n}{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}^{-1}$ for $d$, $\Delta$ fixed, $\Delta$ an integer.

Finally we would like to mention that Katona formulated an interesting generalization of the Littlewood-Offord problem. L. Jones [6] answered some of his questions.
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