

Theorem (Fischer-Neyman Factorization)

Let $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ r.v.s of distribution with PDF $f(\underline{x}, \theta)$
 $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s)$. Then the statistic $\underline{T}(\underline{x}) = (T_1(\underline{x}), T_2(\underline{x}), \dots, T_k(\underline{x}))$
is sufficient for θ iff $\exists g, h$ such that:
 $f(\underline{x}; \theta) = g(\underline{T}(\underline{x}); \theta) \cdot h(\underline{x})$
(g depends on \underline{x} only via $\underline{T}(\underline{x})$ and h is independent of θ)

Proof

(We will prove it for a discrete distribution
and $v=1, s=1, k=1$ for simplicity)

(\Rightarrow)

We suppose that $f(x; \theta) = g(T(x); \theta) \cdot h(x)$ and will
prove that $T(x)$ is sufficient for θ .

$$P(X=x | T=t) = \begin{cases} \frac{P(X=x, T=t)}{P(T=t)}, & \text{if } T=T(x)=t \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and we have } P(T=t) = \sum_{T(x)=t} P(X=x) = \sum_{T(x)=t} f(x; \theta) = \\ = \sum_{T(x)=t} g(T(x); \theta) \cdot h(x) = g(t; \theta) \cdot \sum_{T(x)=t} h(x)$$

$$\text{so for } T(x)=t : P(X=x | T=t) = \frac{P(X=x, T=t)}{P(T=t)} = \frac{P(X=x)}{P(T=t)} = \\ = \frac{g(T(x), \theta) \cdot h(x)}{g(T(x), \theta) \cdot \sum_{T(x)=t} h(x)} = \frac{h(x)}{\sum_{T(x)=t} h(x)}, \text{ which is independent of } \theta$$

so $T(x)$ is sufficient for θ .

(\Rightarrow) We suppose that $T(x)$ is sufficient for θ . Then:

$$f(x; \theta) = P(X=x) = P(X=x, T=t) = P(X=x|T=t) \cdot P(T=t)$$

Since $T(x)$ is sufficient $P(X=x, T=t)$ is independent of θ . Hence $f(x; \theta) = g(T(x); \theta) \cdot h(x)$, where

$$h(x) = P(X=x, T=t) \text{ and } g(T(x); \theta) = P(T=t)$$

Examples

1) Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n r.s of Poisson(λ). Find a sufficient statistic for λ .

Solution

The joint PDF of X_1, \dots, X_n is:

$$f(x; \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} = e^{-n\lambda} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{\sum x_i}}{\prod x_i!} =$$

$$= g(T(x); \lambda) \cdot h(x)$$

$$\text{where } g(T(x); \lambda) = e^{-n\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}, \quad h(x) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i! \right)^{-1}$$

so $T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is a sufficient statistic for λ .

2) Let X_1, \dots, X_n r.s with PDF $f(x; \theta) = \theta \cdot x^{\theta-1}$, $0 < x < 1$, $\theta > 0$
Find a sufficient statistic for θ

Solution

$$f(x; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta \cdot x_i^{\theta-1} = \theta^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{\theta-1} = g(T(x), \theta) \cdot h(x)$$

where $h(x) = 1$ and $g(T(x), \theta) = \theta^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{\theta-1}$ so $T(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i$ is sufficient for θ

3) Let X_1, \dots, X_n r.s of $N(\mu, \sigma^2=1)$. Find a sufficient statistic for μ .

Solution

$$\begin{aligned} f(\underline{x}; \mu) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \mu) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2}\right\} = \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + n\mu^2 - 2\mu \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right]\right\} = \\ &= \underbrace{(2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right\}}_{h(\underline{x})} \cdot \underbrace{\exp\left\{-\frac{n}{2}\mu^2 + \mu \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right\}}_{g(T(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \mu)} = \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is a sufficient statistic for μ

Alternatively: $f(\underline{x}; \mu) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x} + \bar{x} - \mu)^2\right\} =$
 $= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{x} - \mu)^2 + 2(\bar{x} - \mu) \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})\right]\right\}.$

We have $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\bar{x} = n\bar{x} - n\bar{x} = 0$

so $f(\underline{x}; \mu) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2\right\} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{x} - \mu)^2\right\} =$
 $= \underbrace{(2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2\right\}}_{h(\underline{x})} \cdot \underbrace{\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{x} - \mu)^2\right\}}_{g(T(\underline{x}) = \bar{x}; \mu)}$

hence $T(\underline{x}) = \bar{x}$ is sufficient for μ

4) Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n r.s. of $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Find a sufficient statistic for $\theta = (\theta_1 = \mu, \theta_2 = \sigma^2)$.

Solution

$$\begin{aligned} f(\underline{x}; \mu, \sigma^2) &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x} - \bar{x} - \mu)^2\right\} = \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{x} - \mu)^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\bar{x} - \mu) \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})\right\} \\ &= h(\underline{x}) \cdot g(T_1(\underline{x}) = \bar{x}, T_2(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2, \mu, \sigma^2) \\ \text{so } T(\underline{x}) &= \left(\bar{x}, \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2\right) \text{ is sufficient for } \theta \end{aligned}$$

Note

exponential family of distributions

Let X_1, \dots, X_n r.s. of EFD. Can we find a sufficient statistic for $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s)$?

If $X_j \sim \text{FFD}$ with $\underline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s)$, $j=1, \dots, v$ then
 $f_{X_j}(x_j; \underline{\theta}) = B(\underline{\theta}) \cdot \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i(\underline{\theta}) T_i(x_j)\right\} \cdot h(x_j)$

The joint distribution of $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_v)$ belongs to the v -dimensional FFD with s parameters. Hence:

$$f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}; \underline{\theta}) = \prod_{j=1}^v f(x_j; \underline{\theta}) = [B(\underline{\theta})]^v \cdot \exp\left\{\sum_{j=1}^v \sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i(\underline{\theta}) T_i(x_j)\right\} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^v h(x_j) =$$
$$= B^*(\underline{\theta}) \cdot \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^s \eta_i(\underline{\theta}) T_i^*(\underline{x})\right\} \cdot h^*(\underline{x})$$

where $B^*(\underline{\theta}) = (B(\underline{\theta}))^v$, $h^*(\underline{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^v h(x_j)$, $T_i^*(\underline{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^v T_i(x_j)$

so Neyman's theorem applies and $\underline{T}(\underline{x}) = (T_1^*(\underline{x}), \dots, T_s^*(\underline{x}))$ is sufficient for $\underline{\theta}$.

Corollary

Let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\omega: \mathbb{R}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^s$, where ψ, ω "1-1" (so that ψ^{-1}, ω^{-1} exist). If the statistic $\underline{T} = \underline{T}(\underline{x})$ is sufficient for $\underline{\theta}$ then:

- i) $\underline{T}_1 = \psi(\underline{T})$ is sufficient for $\underline{\theta}$
- ii) \underline{T} is sufficient for $\underline{\theta}_1 = \omega(\underline{\theta})$

Proof

i) $f(\underline{x}; \underline{\theta}) = g(\underline{T}(\underline{x}), \underline{\theta}) \cdot h(\underline{x}) = g(\underline{T}_1(\underline{x}), \omega^{-1}(\underline{\theta}_1)) \cdot h(\underline{x}) = g_1(\underline{T}_1(\underline{x}), \underline{\theta}_1) \cdot h(\underline{x})$
so \underline{T}_1 is sufficient for $\underline{\theta}_1$

ii) $f(\underline{x}; \underline{\theta}) = g(\underline{T}(\underline{x}), \underline{\theta}) \cdot h(\underline{x}) = g(\psi^{-1}(\underline{T}_1(\underline{x})), \underline{\theta}) \cdot h(\underline{x}) =$
 $= g_1(\underline{T}_1(\underline{x}), \underline{\theta}) \cdot h(\underline{x})$

so \underline{T}_1 is sufficient for $\underline{\theta}$

Example

1) If $T = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is sufficient for θ then:

$$\leadsto \frac{T}{v} = \frac{\sum x_i}{v} = \bar{x} \text{ sufficient for } \theta$$

$$\leadsto T \text{ is sufficient for } \theta^3, 2\theta, \sqrt{\theta}, \log \theta, e^\theta$$

2) Let x_1, \dots, x_n r.s of $U(0, \theta)$. Find a sufficient statistic for θ .

Solution

$U(0, \theta)$ is not in EFD so we can't work with that.

Generally, if $X \sim U(a, b)$ then $f(x; a, b) = \frac{1}{b-a}$, $a < x < b$

or alternatively $f(x; a, b) = \frac{1}{b-a} \cdot I(a < x < b)$

$$\text{where } I(a < x < b) = \begin{cases} 1, & a < x < b \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For $X \sim U(0, \theta)$ it is $f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} I(0 < x < \theta)$

So according to Neyman Factorization we have:

$$f(\underline{x}; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} I(0 < x_i < \theta) = \theta^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^n I(0 < x_i < \theta)$$

We want $\prod_{i=1}^n I(0 < x_i < \theta) = 1$ or else $f(\underline{x}, \theta) = 0$

so we want $I(0 < x_i < \theta) = 1 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \Leftrightarrow$

$$\Leftrightarrow x_i \in (0, \theta) \quad \forall i \Rightarrow 0 < x_{(1)} < x_{(2)} < \dots < x_{(n)} < \theta$$

where $x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}, \dots, x_{(n)}$ are the sorted statistical data

and $x_{(1)} = \min \{x_i, i=1, \dots, n\}$, $x_{(n)} = \max \{x_i, i=1, \dots, n\}$

Hence we want $I(0 < x_{(1)} < +\infty) \cdot I(0 < x_{(n)} < \theta) = 1$

$$\text{so } f(\underline{x}; \theta) = \theta^{-n} I(0 < x_{(1)} < +\infty) \cdot I(0 < x_{(n)} < \theta) =$$

$$= g(T(\underline{x}); \theta) \cdot h(\underline{x}), \text{ where } g(T(\underline{x}); \theta) = \theta^{-n} I(0 < x_{(n)} < \theta)$$

$$\text{and } h(\underline{x}) = I(0 < x_{(1)} < +\infty)$$

Finally, $T = T(x) = X_{(n)}$ is a sufficient statistic for θ

Alternatively, we can demand $I(0 < x_{(1)} < \theta) \cdot I(0 < x_{(n)} < \theta) = 1$
and we would get $f(x; \theta) = \theta^{-n} I(0 < x_{(1)} < \theta) \cdot I(0 < x_{(n)} < \theta)$
so $h(x) = 1$ and $T_1(x) = (x_{(1)}, x_{(n)})$ which is a
sufficient statistic but not the minimal.