Example 3.5

Consider the two-class problem where class ω_1 (+1) consists of the vectors $\boldsymbol{x}_1 = [-1, 1]^T$, $\boldsymbol{x}_2 = [-1, -1]^T$, while class ω_2 (−1) consists of the vectors $\boldsymbol{x}_3 = [1, -1]^T, \, \boldsymbol{x}_4 = [1, 1]^T.$

We will demonstrate how the utilization of the SVM approach leads to the optimal separating hyperplane, which is $x_1 = 0$ and, in addtion, that this is obtained for different sets of Lagrange multipliers. In the sequel, we solve the problem in its Wolfe dual representation, i.e.,

$$
\max_{\lambda} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{x}_j \right) \equiv J_1^*(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{1}
$$

subject to the constrains

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i y_i = 0 \tag{2}
$$

$$
\lambda_i \ge 0, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N \tag{3}
$$

where, in our case it is $N = 4$, $y_1 = y_2 = +1$ and $y_3 = y_4 = -1$. In the sequel, for notational simplicity, we write J instead of $J_1^*(x)$.

It is straightforward to deduce that $y_1y_1x_1^T\boldsymbol{x}_1 = 2$, $y_1y_2\boldsymbol{x}_1^T\boldsymbol{x}_2 = 0$, $y_1y_3\boldsymbol{x}_1^T\boldsymbol{x}_3 = 0$ $2, y_1y_4x_1^T \mathbf{x}_4 = 0, y_2y_2x_2^T \mathbf{x}_2 = 2, y_2y_3x_2^T \mathbf{x}_3 = 0, y_2y_4x_2^T \mathbf{x}_4 = 2, y_3y_3x_3^T \mathbf{x}_3 = 2,$ $y_3y_4\mathbf{x}_3^T\mathbf{x}_4 = 0$, $y_4y_4\mathbf{x}_4^T\mathbf{x}_4 = 2$. Based on these results, *J* from (1) becomes

$$
J = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2 - \lambda_3^2 - \lambda_4^2 - 2\lambda_1\lambda_3 - 2\lambda_2\lambda_4 \tag{4}
$$

Taking the gradient of J with respect to λ_i , $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial J}{\partial \lambda_1} = 1 - 2\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_3
$$

$$
\frac{\partial J}{\partial \lambda_2} = 1 - 2\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_4
$$

$$
\frac{\partial J}{\partial \lambda_3} = 1 - 2\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_3
$$

$$
\frac{\partial J}{\partial \lambda_4} = 1 - 2\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_4
$$

Setting the gradients equal to 0 and after a bit of algebra, we end up with the following two independent equations

$$
\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 = \frac{1}{2} \tag{5}
$$

$$
\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 = \frac{1}{2} \tag{6}
$$

In addition, eq. (2) gives

$$
\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 \tag{7}
$$

Solving eqs. (5) and (6) with respect to λ_3 and λ_2 , respectively, and substituting to (7), we conclude, after some algebra, that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_4$ and, as a consequence, $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3$. Taking into account that all λ_i 's are nonnegative, we have the following set of relations for them

$$
\lambda_1 = \lambda_4 \tag{8}
$$

$$
\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 \tag{9}
$$

$$
0 \le \lambda_i \le \frac{1}{2}, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \tag{10}
$$

Let $u \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Setting $\lambda_1 = \lambda_4 = u$, which in turn implies that $\lambda_2 =$ $\lambda_3 = \frac{1}{2} - u$, *w* is computed as follows:

$$
\boldsymbol{w} = u \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - u\right) \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} - \left(\frac{1}{2} - u\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} - u \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (11)
$$

Observe that, although there are more than one valid set of values for the λ_i 's, all of them lead to the same solution for \boldsymbol{w} .

In addition, w_0 can be implicitly obtained via the equations

$$
\lambda_i[y_i(\boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{x}_i+w_0)-1]=0, \qquad i=1,2,3,4
$$

Since, in the general case where $u < \frac{1}{2}$, all λ_i 's are positive, we consider the equation

$$
[y_i(\boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{x}_i + w_0) - 1] = 0
$$

for all the vectors. Specifically, it is

$$
1(-w_1 + w_2 + w_0) - 1 = 0, \quad \text{for } x_1
$$

$$
1(-w_1 - w_2 + w_0) - 1 = 0, \quad \text{for } x_2
$$

$$
(-1)(w_1 - w_2 + w_0) - 1 = 0, \quad \text{for } x_3
$$

$$
(-1)(w_1 + w_2 + w_0) - 1 = 0, \quad \text{for } x_4
$$

where $\mathbf{w} = [w_1, w_2]^T = [-1, 0]^T$. Substituting the values of w_1 and w_2 in the above equations, we finally obtain that $w_0 = 0$, and, thus, the solution hyperplane is now completely specified.