Experimental validation of the *in silico* predictions

Concept



Predict in silico candidate GIs

Design primers

PCRElectrophoresisSequencing

In silico predictions for real?

In silico Approach

- 1. Genome sequence of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia strain K279a
- 2. Ran alien_hunter
- 3. The predicted candidate GIs were structurally annotated
- 4. Their structural annotation was used as input to the relevance vector machine (RVM) classifier
- 5. For the classification purposes, the 3 genus-specific structural GI models of Salmonella, Staphylococcus and Streptococcus previously described, as well as a model trained on all three datasets were exploited
- 6. A sample of eight predictions with both highly and less probable GI structures with a score range of 0.2371–0.9997 formed the test-dataset of this analysis

Test dataset

Location	Region	IVOM	INSP	SIZE	DENS	REPEATS	INT	PHAGE	RNA
6041670829	R1	0.38128	1	10,413	1.3444	1	1	1	0
30893983127169	R16	0.74458	0	37,771	1.0060	1	1	1	1
299814335480	R4	0.32642	0	35,666	1.2897	1	1	1	1
13239391367750	R12	0.55018	0	43,811	1.2325	1	1	1	0
17200461724493	R14	0.72176	0	4,447	1.7986	1	0	0	1
19453792002745	R15	0.28154	0	57,366	1.1854	1	1	1	1
39130723931089	R20	0.16626	0	18,017	0.6666	1	0	0	0
631285661659	R7	0.27377	0	30,375	0.8559	0	0	0	0

Region	Salm model	Staph model	Strep model	all3 model
R1	0.9918	0.9991	0.9994	0.9997
R16	0.9995	1.0000	0.9965	0.9992
R4	0.9944	0.9959	0.9804	0.9948
R12	0.9851	0.9997	0.9922	0.9903
R14	0.9978	0.9999	0.9005	0.9890
R15	0.9786	0.9826	0.9765	0.9835
R20	0.5023	0.2109	0.4742	0.4983
R7	0.3070	0.5223	0.1368	0.2371



	PCR product			Inferred
strain	ab	cd	ad	GI distribution
1	+	+	-	
2	-	-	+	
3	+	-	-	
4	-	+	-	



	PCR product			Inferred
strain	ab	cd	ad	GI distribution
1	+	+	-	Present
2	-	-	+	
3	+	-	-	
4	-	+	-	



		PCR p	roduct	Inferred
strain	ab	cd	ad	GI distribution
1	+	+	-	Present
2	-	-	+	Absent
3	+	-	-	
4	-	+	-	



	PCR product			Inferred
strain	ab	cd	ad	GI distribution
1	+	+	-	Present
2	-	-	+	Absent
3	+	-	-	Ambiguous
4	-	+	-	



	PCR product			Inferred
strain	ab	cd	ad	GI distribution
1	+	+	-	Present
2	-	-	+	Absent
3	+	-	-	Ambiguous
4	-	+	-	Ambiguous

Polymerase Chain Reaction - PCR

The purpose of a Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) is the amplification of DNA fragments to a very large number of copies. The PCR protocol consists of three major steps, each of which is repeated 30-40 times:

1. Denaturation At this step, double-stranded DNA templates denature to single strands of DNA, a process caused by the increased temperature that disrupts the hydrogen bonds between complementary bases of the DNA molecule; the denaturation occurs at a temperature, which is partially determined by the G+C% content of the DNA templates. At this step the reaction is heated for 30-45 seconds at a temperature of 94-95 °C.

2. Annealing The reaction temperature is lowered, usually at an annealing temperature 3-5 °C lower than the melting temperature (Tm) at which the primers dissociate from the DNA template. At this step, primers are moving following a Brownian motion and hydrogen bonds are constantly formed and broken between the single-stranded DNA primers and the single-stranded DNA template. Once stable primer-template hybrids have formed, the DNA polymerase catalyzes the template-dependent synthesis of DNA. The optimal annealing temperature for a given primer set and DNA template can be determined by using a range of different temperatures e.g. 50-65 °C (gradient PCR).

3. Extension At a temperature of 72-78 °C a thermostable DNA polymerase synthesizes new DNA strands that are complementary to the template DNA, by adding Deoxynucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs) in a 5' to 3' direction (relative to the primer) reading the template DNA in the opposite direction (i.e. 3' to 5'). A commonly used thermostable DNA polymerase, is the Taq polymerase, an enzyme originally isolated from, and named after, the bacterium Thermus aquaticus (Chien et al., 1976). The speed of polymerization (following a geometric growth) of the template DNA by the Taq polymerase is ~ 2000 nucleotides/minute

Demo: http://learn.genetics.utah.edu/content/labs/pcr/

Gel Electrophoresis

 \checkmark The principle of this protocol is the separation of nucleic acids or proteins based on their charge and mass

✓ Using an electric field, the macromolecules can be separated on a gel, with a rate of migration that depends on many factors, including the applied voltage, the hydrophobicity, size and shape of the molecules, the agarose gel concentration and the ionic strength of the buffer solution

Demo: <u>http://learn.genetics.utah.edu/content/labs/gel/</u>

Stenotrophomonas maltophilia

- ✓ Nonfermentative gram-negative bacillus (*Pseudomonas maltophilia* or *Xanthomonas maltophilia*)
- ✓ Important nosocomial pathogen
- ✓ Little is known about virulence factors associated with the bacterium
- ✓ Uncertainty about the route(s) of acquisition of *S. maltophilia*
- ✓ Person-to-person transmission may be an infrequent occurrence in the nosocomial setting
- ✓ Resistance to many currently available broad-spectrum antimicrobial agents

CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS:

- 1. Bacteremia
- 2. Endocarditis
- 3. Nosocomial pneumonia (5%)
- 4. Meningitis is uncommon
- 5. Ocular infections
- 6. Uncommon cause of urinary tract infection
- 7. Frequent isolate from wounds and other skin lesions
- 8. Bone and joint infections are uncommon
- 9. Infrequent cause of gastrointestinal infection



Results (example 1)



Results (example 1)



Results (example 1)

	GC Content (%) Window size: 1292	
		putative peptidase putative Hep Hag family adhesin putative conjugal transfer protein TraA putative type IV secretory protein conjugation protein TraD putative ankyrin repeat protein putative transmembrane protein putative transmembrane protein putative transmembrane protein conserved hypothetical exported protein
location	30893983127169	putative plasmid partitioning like protein
Region	16	putative type IV pilus protein
IVOM	0.745	hypothetical exported protein
INSP	0	putative transposase
DENSITY	1.006036	putative transposase, pseudogene
REPEATS	1	putative phage-related integrase
INTEGRASE	1	
PHAGE	1	
RNA	1	
RVM score	0.9991887778234	409500 416000 422500 429000 435500 442000 448500 455000 461500 468000 474500 481000



Results (example 2)



Results (example 2)



		putative conjugal transfer protein	
	R	putative conjugal transfer protein	
		putative conjugal transfer protein	
		putative conjugal transfer protein	
		putative conjugal transfer protein	
	GC Content (%) Window size: 2958	putative conjugal transfer protein	
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	putative conjugal transfer protein	
		putative conjugal transfer protein	
		putative conjugal transfer protein	
	s D	putative Conjugal transfer protein	
	Sm17) Sm) S)Sm) ou) Sm17) Sm) D	putative Eysix family transcriptional regulator	
	<u> 1293500  1300000  1306500  1313000</u>	putative regulator, pseudogene	
	VI VIII VIIII VIIIII VIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	putative value, peeddogene	
	5 matches selected	putative LysR family transcriptional regulator	
location	13239391367750	putative LysR family transcriptional regulator	
Region	12	putative 4-carboxymuconolactone decarboxylase	
	0.55	putative MerR family transcriptional regulator	
	0.00	putative MFS family transmembrane transporter	
INSP	0	putative LysR family transcriptional regulator	
DENSITY	1.2325	putative transmembrane anchor conjugal transfer protein	
REPEATS	1	putative parB partition protein	
	= 1	putative ParA/CobQ/CobB/MinD nucleotide binding domain protein	
INTEGRASI	•   '	putative RepA-like replication protein	
PHAGE	1	nutative transposase	
RNA	0	putative ParB-like nuclease domain protein	
RVM score	0.990282142685	putative transmembrane protein	
		putative RadC DNA repair protein, pseudogene	
		putative prophage integrase	
		1	19



12 A-D: ~ 1000bp



"... β-lactams, chloramphenicol, aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones and macrolides ... Many of the resistance genes are located on small islands with no obvious mobile DNA features (determined by Alien Hunter [26]) ...", *Crossman LC et al., Genome Biol 2008* 

#### Summary

✓ Experimentally validated *in silico* predictions:

Benchmarking  $\rightarrow$  SP = 0.83, SN = 1.0, AC = 0.88

✓ Accurately determined predicted boundaries (verified by sequencing)

✓Interesting gene products  $\rightarrow$  potential virulence factors